

# Lifting homeomorphisms from separable quotients of $\omega^*$

Stefan Geschke  
and  
Tomás Silveira Salles

July 29, 2016

We consider automorphisms of the Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

Let us recall the different kinds of such automorphisms.

Clearly, every permutation of  $\omega$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

Also, every bijection between two cofinite subsets of  $\omega$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ . These automorphisms are called *trivial*.

A. Bella, A. Dow, K.P. Hart, M. Hrušák, J. van Mill and P. Ursino showed that every isomorphism between two countable subalgebras of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  extends to an automorphism of all of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

We consider automorphisms of the Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .  
Let us recall the different kinds of such automorphisms.

Clearly, every permutation of  $\omega$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

Also, every bijection between two cofinite subsets of  $\omega$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ . These automorphisms are called *trivial*.

A. Bella, A. Dow, K.P. Hart, M. Hrušák, J. van Mill and P. Ursino showed that every isomorphism between two countable subalgebras of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  extends to an automorphism of all of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

We consider automorphisms of the Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .  
Let us recall the different kinds of such automorphisms.

Clearly, every permutation of  $\omega$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

Also, every bijection between two cofinite subsets of  $\omega$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ . These automorphisms are called *trivial*.

A. Bella, A. Dow, K.P. Hart, M. Hrušák, J. van Mill and P. Ursino showed that every isomorphism between two countable subalgebras of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  extends to an automorphism of all of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

We consider automorphisms of the Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .  
Let us recall the different kinds of such automorphisms.

Clearly, every permutation of  $\omega$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

Also, every bijection between two cofinite subsets of  $\omega$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ . These automorphisms are called *trivial*.

A. Bella, A. Dow, K.P. Hart, M. Hrušák, J. van Mill and P. Ursino showed that every isomorphism between two countable subalgebras of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  extends to an automorphism of all of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

We consider automorphisms of the Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .  
Let us recall the different kinds of such automorphisms.

Clearly, every permutation of  $\omega$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

Also, every bijection between two cofinite subsets of  $\omega$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ . These automorphisms are called *trivial*.

A. Bella, A. Dow, K.P. Hart, M. Hrušák, J. van Mill and P. Ursino showed that every isomorphism between two countable subalgebras of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  extends to an automorphism of all of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

We consider automorphisms of the Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .  
Let us recall the different kinds of such automorphisms.

Clearly, every permutation of  $\omega$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

Also, every bijection between two cofinite subsets of  $\omega$  induces an automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ . These automorphisms are called *trivial*.

A. Bella, A. Dow, K.P. Hart, M. Hrušák, J. van Mill and P. Ursino showed that every isomorphism between two countable subalgebras of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  extends to an automorphism of all of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

Comfort remarked (without a proof) that it can be shown in ZFC that for any two continuous maps  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\omega^*$  onto a metric space  $X$  there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .

The following theorem is an equivalent formulation of Comfort's claim:

### Theorem

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact metric spaces and let  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow Y$  be continuous and onto. If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism, then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi} : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  such that  $\varphi \circ f = g \circ \bar{\varphi}$  and such that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .*



Comfort remarked (without a proof) that it can be shown in ZFC that for any two continuous maps  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\omega^*$  onto a metric space  $X$  there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .

The following theorem is an equivalent formulation of Comfort's claim:

### Theorem

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact metric spaces and let  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow Y$  be continuous and onto. If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism, then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi} : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  such that  $\varphi \circ f = g \circ \bar{\varphi}$  and such that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .*

Comfort remarked (without a proof) that it can be shown in ZFC that for any two continuous maps  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\omega^*$  onto a metric space  $X$  there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .

The following theorem is an equivalent formulation of Comfort's claim:

### Theorem

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact metric spaces and let  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow Y$  be continuous and onto. If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism, then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi} : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  such that  $\varphi \circ f = g \circ \bar{\varphi}$  and such that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .*

Comfort remarked (without a proof) that it can be shown in ZFC that for any two continuous maps  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\omega^*$  onto a metric space  $X$  there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .

The following theorem is an equivalent formulation of Comfort's claim:

### Theorem

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact metric spaces and let  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow Y$  be continuous and onto. If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism, then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi} : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  such that  $\varphi \circ f = g \circ \bar{\varphi}$  and such that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .*

Comfort remarked (without a proof) that it can be shown in ZFC that for any two continuous maps  $f$  and  $g$  from  $\omega^*$  onto a metric space  $X$  there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .

The following theorem is an equivalent formulation of Comfort's claim:

### Theorem

*Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact metric spaces and let  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow Y$  be continuous and onto. If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism, then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi} : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  such that  $\varphi \circ f = g \circ \bar{\varphi}$  and such that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .*

Recall that  $\ell_\infty$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of bounded sequences and that  $c_0$  is the closed ideal of sequences converging to 0. The maximal ideal space of  $\ell_\infty/c_0$  is  $\omega^*$ .

### Theorem ( $\ell_\infty/c_0$ -formulation)

*Let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  be an isometric isomorphism between two separable closed subalgebras of  $\ell_\infty/c_0$ . Then  $\varphi$  extends to an automorphism of  $\ell_\infty/c_0$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .*

Recall that  $\ell_\infty$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of bounded sequences and that  $c_0$  is the closed ideal of sequences converging to 0. The maximal ideal space of  $\ell_\infty/c_0$  is  $\omega^*$ .

### Theorem ( $\ell_\infty/c_0$ -formulation)

*Let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  be an isometric isomorphism between two separable closed subalgebras of  $\ell_\infty/c_0$ . Then  $\varphi$  extends to an automorphism of  $\ell_\infty/c_0$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .*

Recall that  $\ell_\infty$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of bounded sequences and that  $c_0$  is the closed ideal of sequences converging to 0. The maximal ideal space of  $\ell_\infty/c_0$  is  $\omega^*$ .

### Theorem ( $\ell_\infty/c_0$ -formulation)

*Let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  be an isometric isomorphism between two separable closed subalgebras of  $\ell_\infty/c_0$ . Then  $\varphi$  extends to an automorphism of  $\ell_\infty/c_0$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .*

Recall that  $\ell_\infty$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of bounded sequences and that  $c_0$  is the closed ideal of sequences converging to 0. The maximal ideal space of  $\ell_\infty/c_0$  is  $\omega^*$ .

### Theorem ( $\ell_\infty/c_0$ -formulation)

*Let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  be an isometric isomorphism between two separable closed subalgebras of  $\ell_\infty/c_0$ . Then  $\varphi$  extends to an automorphism of  $\ell_\infty/c_0$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .*



## Motivating example

Let  $S^1$  denote the unit circle, considered as a topological group with respect to complex multiplication. Fix a countable dense subgroup  $D \subseteq S^1$ . Let  $f : \omega \rightarrow D$  be a bijection.  $f$  has a unique continuous extension  $\beta f : \beta\omega \rightarrow S^1$ . Let  $f^* : \omega^* \rightarrow S^1$  be the restriction of  $\beta f$  to  $\omega^*$ . Now  $f^*$  is onto  $S^1$ .

Let  $d \in D$ . Multiplication by  $d$  is a homeomorphism of  $S^1$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

What about rotations that are not in  $D$ ?

What if  $f$  is not a bijection?

## Motivating example

Let  $S^1$  denote the unit circle, considered as a topological group with respect to complex multiplication. Fix a countable dense subgroup  $D \subseteq S^1$ . Let  $f : \omega \rightarrow D$  be a bijection.  $f$  has a unique continuous extension  $\beta f : \beta\omega \rightarrow S^1$ . Let  $f^* : \omega^* \rightarrow S^1$  be the restriction of  $\beta f$  to  $\omega^*$ . Now  $f^*$  is onto  $S^1$ .

Let  $d \in D$ . Multiplication by  $d$  is a homeomorphism of  $S^1$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

What about rotations that are not in  $D$ ?

What if  $f$  is not a bijection?

## Motivating example

Let  $S^1$  denote the unit circle, considered as a topological group with respect to complex multiplication. Fix a countable dense subgroup  $D \subseteq S^1$ . Let  $f : \omega \rightarrow D$  be a bijection.  $f$  has a unique continuous extension  $\beta f : \beta\omega \rightarrow S^1$ . Let  $f^* : \omega^* \rightarrow S^1$  be the restriction of  $\beta f$  to  $\omega^*$ . Now  $f^*$  is onto  $S^1$ .

Let  $d \in D$ . Multiplication by  $d$  is a homeomorphism of  $S^1$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

What about rotations that are not in  $D$ ?

What if  $f$  is not a bijection?

## Motivating example

Let  $S^1$  denote the unit circle, considered as a topological group with respect to complex multiplication. Fix a countable dense subgroup  $D \subseteq S^1$ . Let  $f : \omega \rightarrow D$  be a bijection.  $f$  has a unique continuous extension  $\beta f : \beta\omega \rightarrow S^1$ . Let  $f^* : \omega^* \rightarrow S^1$  be the restriction of  $\beta f$  to  $\omega^*$ . Now  $f^*$  is onto  $S^1$ .

Let  $d \in D$ . Multiplication by  $d$  is a homeomorphism of  $S^1$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

What about rotations that are not in  $D$ ?

What if  $f$  is not a bijection?

## Motivating example

Let  $S^1$  denote the unit circle, considered as a topological group with respect to complex multiplication. Fix a countable dense subgroup  $D \subseteq S^1$ . Let  $f : \omega \rightarrow D$  be a bijection.  $f$  has a unique continuous extension  $\beta f : \beta\omega \rightarrow S^1$ . Let  $f^* : \omega^* \rightarrow S^1$  be the restriction of  $\beta f$  to  $\omega^*$ . Now  $f^*$  is onto  $S^1$ .

Let  $d \in D$ . Multiplication by  $d$  is a homeomorphism of  $S^1$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

What about rotations that are not in  $D$ ?

What if  $f$  is not a bijection?

## Motivating example

Let  $S^1$  denote the unit circle, considered as a topological group with respect to complex multiplication. Fix a countable dense subgroup  $D \subseteq S^1$ . Let  $f : \omega \rightarrow D$  be a bijection.  $f$  has a unique continuous extension  $\beta f : \beta\omega \rightarrow S^1$ . Let  $f^* : \omega^* \rightarrow S^1$  be the restriction of  $\beta f$  to  $\omega^*$ . Now  $f^*$  is onto  $S^1$ .

Let  $d \in D$ . Multiplication by  $d$  is a homeomorphism of  $S^1$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

What about rotations that are not in  $D$ ?

What if  $f$  is not a bijection?

## Motivating example

Let  $S^1$  denote the unit circle, considered as a topological group with respect to complex multiplication. Fix a countable dense subgroup  $D \subseteq S^1$ . Let  $f : \omega \rightarrow D$  be a bijection.  $f$  has a unique continuous extension  $\beta f : \beta\omega \rightarrow S^1$ . Let  $f^* : \omega^* \rightarrow S^1$  be the restriction of  $\beta f$  to  $\omega^*$ . Now  $f^*$  is onto  $S^1$ .

Let  $d \in D$ . Multiplication by  $d$  is a homeomorphism of  $S^1$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

What about rotations that are not in  $D$ ?

What if  $f$  is not a bijection?

## Motivating example

Let  $S^1$  denote the unit circle, considered as a topological group with respect to complex multiplication. Fix a countable dense subgroup  $D \subseteq S^1$ . Let  $f : \omega \rightarrow D$  be a bijection.  $f$  has a unique continuous extension  $\beta f : \beta\omega \rightarrow S^1$ . Let  $f^* : \omega^* \rightarrow S^1$  be the restriction of  $\beta f$  to  $\omega^*$ . Now  $f^*$  is onto  $S^1$ .

Let  $d \in D$ . Multiplication by  $d$  is a homeomorphism of  $S^1$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

What about rotations that are not in  $D$ ?

What if  $f$  is not a bijection?



## Motivating example

Let  $S^1$  denote the unit circle, considered as a topological group with respect to complex multiplication. Fix a countable dense subgroup  $D \subseteq S^1$ . Let  $f : \omega \rightarrow D$  be a bijection.  $f$  has a unique continuous extension  $\beta f : \beta\omega \rightarrow S^1$ . Let  $f^* : \omega^* \rightarrow S^1$  be the restriction of  $\beta f$  to  $\omega^*$ . Now  $f^*$  is onto  $S^1$ .

Let  $d \in D$ . Multiplication by  $d$  is a homeomorphism of  $S^1$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

What about rotations that are not in  $D$ ?

What if  $f$  is not a bijection?

## Motivating example

Let  $S^1$  denote the unit circle, considered as a topological group with respect to complex multiplication. Fix a countable dense subgroup  $D \subseteq S^1$ . Let  $f : \omega \rightarrow D$  be a bijection.  $f$  has a unique continuous extension  $\beta f : \beta\omega \rightarrow S^1$ . Let  $f^* : \omega^* \rightarrow S^1$  be the restriction of  $\beta f$  to  $\omega^*$ . Now  $f^*$  is onto  $S^1$ .

Let  $d \in D$ . Multiplication by  $d$  is a homeomorphism of  $S^1$  that is induced by a permutation of  $\omega$ .

What about rotations that are not in  $D$ ?

What if  $f$  is not a bijection?

## Basic observation

### Lemma

*The following are equivalent:*

- a) For every metric space  $X$ , given continuous surjections  $f, g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$ , there is an automorphism  $\varphi : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  such that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .*
- b) Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  continuous and onto. If  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$ , then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f \circ \bar{\varphi} = \varphi \circ f$ .*
- c) Let  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow Y$  be continuous and onto with  $X$  and  $Y$  metric. If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism, then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f \circ \bar{\varphi} = \varphi \circ g$ .*

## Basic observation

### Lemma

*The following are equivalent:*

- a) For every metric space  $X$ , given continuous surjections  $f, g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$ , there is an automorphism  $\varphi : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  such that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .*
- b) Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  continuous and onto. If  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$ , then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f \circ \bar{\varphi} = \varphi \circ f$ .*
- c) Let  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow Y$  be continuous and onto with  $X$  and  $Y$  metric. If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism, then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f \circ \bar{\varphi} = \varphi \circ g$ .*

## Basic observation

### Lemma

*The following are equivalent:*

a) *For every metric space  $X$ , given continuous surjections  $f, g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$ , there is an automorphism  $\varphi : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  such that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .*

b) *Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  continuous and onto. If  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$ , then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f \circ \bar{\varphi} = \varphi \circ f$ .*

c) *Let  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow Y$  be continuous and onto with  $X$  and  $Y$  metric. If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism, then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f \circ \bar{\varphi} = \varphi \circ g$ .*

## Basic observation

### Lemma

*The following are equivalent:*

- a) *For every metric space  $X$ , given continuous surjections  $f, g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$ , there is an automorphism  $\varphi : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  such that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .*
- b) *Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  continuous and onto. If  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$ , then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f \circ \bar{\varphi} = \varphi \circ f$ .*
- c) *Let  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow Y$  be continuous and onto with  $X$  and  $Y$  metric. If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism, then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f \circ \bar{\varphi} = \varphi \circ g$ .*

## Basic observation

### Lemma

*The following are equivalent:*

- a) *For every metric space  $X$ , given continuous surjections  $f, g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$ , there is an automorphism  $\varphi : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  such that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .*
- b) *Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  continuous and onto. If  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$ , then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f \circ \bar{\varphi} = \varphi \circ f$ .*
- c) *Let  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow Y$  be continuous and onto with  $X$  and  $Y$  metric. If  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism, then there is a homeomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  of  $\omega^*$  such that  $f \circ \bar{\varphi} = \varphi \circ g$ .*

## The proof

We will prove the following statement:

For every metric space  $X$ , given continuous surjections  $f, g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$ , there is an automorphism  $\varphi : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  such that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .



## The proof

We will prove the following statement:

For every metric space  $X$ , given continuous surjections  $f, g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$ , there is an automorphism  $\varphi : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  such that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .

## The proof

We will prove the following statement:

For every metric space  $X$ , given continuous surjections  $f, g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$ , there is an automorphism  $\varphi : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  such that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .

Let  $f, g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  be continuous and onto where  $X$  is metric.  
We fix a countable set  $S_g$  of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$  as in the following lemma:

### Lemma

*Let  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  be onto,  $X$  a metric space.*

*Then there is a countable family  $S_g$  consisting of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$  such that for all continuous  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and all  $\varphi : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  the following holds:*

*If for all  $A \in S_g$  we have  $f[\varphi^{-1}[A]] \subseteq g[A]$ , then  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .*

Let  $f, g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  be continuous and onto where  $X$  is metric. We fix a countable set  $S_g$  of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$  as in the following lemma:

### Lemma

*Let  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  be onto,  $X$  a metric space.*

*Then there is a countable family  $S_g$  consisting of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$  such that for all continuous  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and all  $\varphi : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  the following holds:*

*If for all  $A \in S_g$  we have  $f[\varphi^{-1}[A]] \subseteq g[A]$ , then  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .*

Let  $f, g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  be continuous and onto where  $X$  is metric. We fix a countable set  $S_g$  of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$  as in the following lemma:

### Lemma

Let  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  be onto,  $X$  a metric space.

*Then there is a countable family  $S_g$  consisting of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$  such that for all continuous  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and all  $\varphi : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  the following holds:*

*If for all  $A \in S_g$  we have  $f[\varphi^{-1}[A]] \subseteq g[A]$ , then  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .*

Let  $f, g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  be continuous and onto where  $X$  is metric. We fix a countable set  $S_g$  of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$  as in the following lemma:

### Lemma

*Let  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  be onto,  $X$  a metric space.*

*Then there is a countable family  $S_g$  consisting of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$  such that for all continuous  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and all  $\varphi : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  the following holds:*

*If for all  $A \in S_g$  we have  $f[\varphi^{-1}[A]] \subseteq g[A]$ , then  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .*

Let  $f, g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  be continuous and onto where  $X$  is metric. We fix a countable set  $S_g$  of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$  as in the following lemma:

### Lemma

*Let  $g : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  be onto,  $X$  a metric space.*

*Then there is a countable family  $S_g$  consisting of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$  such that for all continuous  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  and all  $\varphi : \omega^* \rightarrow \omega^*$  the following holds:*

*If for all  $A \in S_g$  we have  $f[\varphi^{-1}[A]] \subseteq g[A]$ , then  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .*

We may assume that  $S_g$  is a subalgebra of the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$ .

We construct a Boolean algebra embedding  $\varphi^* : S_g \rightarrow \text{clop}(\omega^*)$  such that for all clopen sets  $A \in S_g$  we have  $f[\varphi^*[A]] \subseteq g[A]$ .

We do this inductively. Suppose we have already constructed  $\varphi^*$  on a finite subalgebra  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $S_g$ .

We want to add a new clopen set  $b$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  and extend  $\varphi^*$  to  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{b\} \rangle$ , the subalgebra of  $S_g$  generated by  $\mathcal{C}$  together with  $b$ .



We may assume that  $S_g$  is a subalgebra of the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$ .

We construct a Boolean algebra embedding  $\varphi^* : S_g \rightarrow \text{clop}(\omega^*)$  such that for all clopen sets  $A \in S_g$  we have  $f[\varphi^*[A]] \subseteq g[A]$ .

We do this inductively. Suppose we have already constructed  $\varphi^*$  on a finite subalgebra  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $S_g$ .

We want to add a new clopen set  $b$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  and extend  $\varphi^*$  to  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{b\} \rangle$ , the subalgebra of  $S_g$  generated by  $\mathcal{C}$  together with  $b$ .

We may assume that  $S_g$  is a subalgebra of the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$ .

We construct a Boolean algebra embedding  $\varphi^* : S_g \rightarrow \text{clop}(\omega^*)$  such that for all clopen sets  $A \in S_g$  we have  $f[\varphi^*[A]] \subseteq g[A]$ .

We do this inductively. Suppose we have already constructed  $\varphi^*$  on a finite subalgebra  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $S_g$ .

We want to add a new clopen set  $b$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  and extend  $\varphi^*$  to  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{b\} \rangle$ , the subalgebra of  $S_g$  generated by  $\mathcal{C}$  together with  $b$ .

We may assume that  $S_g$  is a subalgebra of the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of  $\omega^*$ .

We construct a Boolean algebra embedding  $\varphi^* : S_g \rightarrow \text{clop}(\omega^*)$  such that for all clopen sets  $A \in S_g$  we have  $f[\varphi^*[A]] \subseteq g[A]$ .

We do this inductively. Suppose we have already constructed  $\varphi^*$  on a finite subalgebra  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $S_g$ .

We want to add a new clopen set  $b$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  and extend  $\varphi^*$  to  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{b\} \rangle$ , the subalgebra of  $S_g$  generated by  $\mathcal{C}$  together with  $b$ .

## Theorem (Błaszczyk, Szymański)

Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  onto and continuous.

Let  $V \subseteq \omega^*$  be an clopen set, and suppose  $C_0, C_1 \subseteq X$  are closed and such that  $f[V] \subseteq C_0 \cup C_1$ .

Then there is a clopen set  $V_0 \subseteq V$  such that  $f[V_0] = C_0 \cap f[V]$  and  $f[V \setminus V_0] = C_1 \cap f[V]$ .

## Theorem (Błaszczczyk, Szymański)

Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  onto and continuous.

Let  $V \subseteq \omega^*$  be an clopen set, and suppose  $C_0, C_1 \subseteq X$  are closed and such that  $f[V] \subseteq C_0 \cup C_1$ .

Then there is a clopen set  $V_0 \subseteq V$  such that  $f[V_0] = C_0 \cap f[V]$  and  $f[V \setminus V_0] = C_1 \cap f[V]$ .

## Theorem (Błaszczyk, Szymański)

*Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $f : \omega^* \rightarrow X$  onto and continuous.*

*Let  $V \subseteq \omega^*$  be an clopen set, and suppose  $C_0, C_1 \subseteq X$  are closed and such that  $f[V] \subseteq C_0 \cup C_1$ .*

*Then there is a clopen set  $V_0 \subseteq V$  such that  $f[V_0] = C_0 \cap f[V]$  and  $f[V \setminus V_0] = C_1 \cap f[V]$ .*

Now each atom of  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{e\} \rangle$  is of the form  $a \cap e$  or  $a \setminus e$  for some atom  $a$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Using the Błaszczyk-Szymański result, we can find  $b$  such that  $f[b] = g[a \cap e]$  and  $f[\varphi^*(a) \setminus b] = g[a \setminus e]$ .

We can choose  $b$  in such a way that we can actually extend  $\varphi^*$  to  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{a \cap e\} \rangle$  by letting  $\varphi^*(a \cap e) = b$ .

Iterating this procedure, we can extend  $\varphi^*$  to all of  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{e\} \rangle$ .

Once we have defined  $\varphi^*$  on  $\mathcal{S}_g$ , we can extend it to all of  $\text{clop}(\omega^*) \cong \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  by the result of Bella et al.

Now each atom of  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{e\} \rangle$  is of the form  $a \cap e$  or  $a \setminus e$  for some atom  $a$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Using the Błaszczyk-Szymański result, we can find  $b$  such that  $f[b] = g[a \cap e]$  and  $f[\varphi^*(a) \setminus b] = g[a \setminus e]$ .

We can choose  $b$  in such a way that we can actually extend  $\varphi^*$  to  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{a \cap e\} \rangle$  by letting  $\varphi^*(a \cap e) = b$ .

Iterating this procedure, we can extend  $\varphi^*$  to all of  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{e\} \rangle$ .

Once we have defined  $\varphi^*$  on  $\mathcal{S}_g$ , we can extend it to all of  $\text{clop}(\omega^*) \cong \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  by the result of Bella et al.



Now each atom of  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{e\} \rangle$  is of the form  $a \cap e$  or  $a \setminus e$  for some atom  $a$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Using the Błaszczyk-Szymański result, we can find  $b$  such that  $f[b] = g[a \cap e]$  and  $f[\varphi^*(a) \setminus b] = g[a \setminus e]$ .

We can choose  $b$  in such a way that we can actually extend  $\varphi^*$  to  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{a \cap e\} \rangle$  by letting  $\varphi^*(a \cap e) = b$ .

Iterating this procedure, we can extend  $\varphi^*$  to all of  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{e\} \rangle$ .

Once we have defined  $\varphi^*$  on  $\mathcal{S}_g$ , we can extend it to all of  $\text{clop}(\omega^*) \cong \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  by the result of Bella et al.

Now each atom of  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{e\} \rangle$  is of the form  $a \cap e$  or  $a \setminus e$  for some atom  $a$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Using the Błaszczyk-Szymański result, we can find  $b$  such that  $f[b] = g[a \cap e]$  and  $f[\varphi^*(a) \setminus b] = g[a \setminus e]$ .

We can choose  $b$  in such a way that we can actually extend  $\varphi^*$  to  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{a \cap e\} \rangle$  by letting  $\varphi^*(a \cap e) = b$ .

Iterating this procedure, we can extend  $\varphi^*$  to all of  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{e\} \rangle$ .

Once we have defined  $\varphi^*$  on  $\mathcal{S}_g$ , we can extend it to all of  $\text{clop}(\omega^*) \cong \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  by the result of Bella et al.

Now each atom of  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{e\} \rangle$  is of the form  $a \cap e$  or  $a \setminus e$  for some atom  $a$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Using the Błaszczyk-Szymański result, we can find  $b$  such that  $f[b] = g[a \cap e]$  and  $f[\varphi^*(a) \setminus b] = g[a \setminus e]$ .

We can choose  $b$  in such a way that we can actually extend  $\varphi^*$  to  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{a \cap e\} \rangle$  by letting  $\varphi^*(a \cap e) = b$ .

Iterating this procedure, we can extend  $\varphi^*$  to all of  $\langle \mathcal{C} \cup \{e\} \rangle$ .

Once we have defined  $\varphi^*$  on  $\mathcal{S}_g$ , we can extend it to all of  $\text{clop}(\omega^*) \cong \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  by the result of Bella et al.

By Stone duality there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\omega^*$  such that for all clopen sets  $a \subseteq \omega^*$ ,  $\varphi^*(a) = \varphi^{-1}[a]$ .

By the choice of  $S_g$  and since for all  $A \in S_g$  we have  $f[\varphi^{-1}[A]] = f[\varphi^*(A)] \subseteq g[A]$  it holds that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .

This finishes the proof.

By Stone duality there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\omega^*$  such that for all clopen sets  $a \subseteq \omega^*$ ,  $\varphi^*(a) = \varphi^{-1}[a]$ .

By the choice of  $S_g$  and since for all  $A \in S_g$  we have  $f[\varphi^{-1}[A]] = f[\varphi^*(A)] \subseteq g[A]$  it holds that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .

This finishes the proof.

By Stone duality there is a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\omega^*$  such that for all clopen sets  $a \subseteq \omega^*$ ,  $\varphi^*(a) = \varphi^{-1}[a]$ .

By the choice of  $S_g$  and since for all  $A \in S_g$  we have  $f[\varphi^{-1}[A]] = f[\varphi^*(A)] \subseteq g[A]$  it holds that  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .

This finishes the proof.

## Further discussion

A previous argument used the fact that for all continuous maps  $f$  from  $\omega^*$  onto a metric space  $X$  there is a function  $g : \omega \rightarrow X$  such that  $f = g^*$ .

The range of  $g$  can be any prescribed countable dense subset of  $X$ .

Based on a suggestion by K.P. Hart, using a method of Watson and Weiss we could show the following:

### Theorem

*There are a first countable compact space  $X$  and a continuous map  $f$  from  $\omega^*$  onto  $X$  such that  $f$  is not of the form  $g \upharpoonright \omega^*$  for any  $g : \beta\omega \rightarrow X$ .*

## Further discussion

A previous argument used the fact that for all continuous maps  $f$  from  $\omega^*$  onto a metric space  $X$  there is a function  $g : \omega \rightarrow X$  such that  $f = g^*$ .

The range of  $g$  can be any prescribed countable dense subset of  $X$ .

Based on a suggestion by K.P. Hart, using a method of Watson and Weiss we could show the following:

### Theorem

*There are a first countable compact space  $X$  and a continuous map  $f$  from  $\omega^*$  onto  $X$  such that  $f$  is not of the form  $g \upharpoonright \omega^*$  for any  $g : \beta\omega \rightarrow X$ .*



## Further discussion

A previous argument used the fact that for all continuous maps  $f$  from  $\omega^*$  onto a metric space  $X$  there is a function  $g : \omega \rightarrow X$  such that  $f = g^*$ .

The range of  $g$  can be any prescribed countable dense subset of  $X$ .

Based on a suggestion by K.P. Hart, using a method of Watson and Weiss we could show the following:

### Theorem

*There are a first countable compact space  $X$  and a continuous map  $f$  from  $\omega^*$  onto  $X$  such that  $f$  is not of the form  $g \upharpoonright \omega^*$  for any  $g : \beta\omega \rightarrow X$ .*

## Further discussion

A previous argument used the fact that for all continuous maps  $f$  from  $\omega^*$  onto a metric space  $X$  there is a function  $g : \omega \rightarrow X$  such that  $f = g^*$ .

The range of  $g$  can be any prescribed countable dense subset of  $X$ .

Based on a suggestion by K.P. Hart, using a method of Watson and Weiss we could show the following:

### Theorem

*There are a first countable compact space  $X$  and a continuous map  $f$  from  $\omega^*$  onto  $X$  such that  $f$  is not of the form  $g \upharpoonright \omega^*$  for any  $g : \beta\omega \rightarrow X$ .*

## Further discussion

A previous argument used the fact that for all continuous maps  $f$  from  $\omega^*$  onto a metric space  $X$  there is a function  $g : \omega \rightarrow X$  such that  $f = g^*$ .

The range of  $g$  can be any prescribed countable dense subset of  $X$ .

Based on a suggestion by K.P. Hart, using a method of Watson and Weiss we could show the following:

### Theorem

*There are a first countable compact space  $X$  and a continuous map  $f$  from  $\omega^*$  onto  $X$  such that  $f$  is not of the form  $g \upharpoonright \omega^*$  for any  $g : \beta\omega \rightarrow X$ .*

## Theorem (Velickovic)

$MA_{\aleph_1} + OCA$  implies that all automorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  are trivial.

This leads to the following observation that was pointed out by Farah.

Under  $MA_{\aleph_1} + OCA$  there is an automorphism of a subalgebra of size  $\aleph_1$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  that does not extend to all of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

## Theorem (Velickovic)

$MA_{\aleph_1} + OCA$  implies that all automorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  are trivial.

This leads to the following observation that was pointed out by Farah.

Under  $MA_{\aleph_1} + OCA$  there is an automorphism of a subalgebra of size  $\aleph_1$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  that does not extend to all of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

## Theorem (Velickovic)

$MA_{\aleph_1} + OCA$  implies that all automorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  are trivial.

This leads to the following observation that was pointed out by Farah.

Under  $MA_{\aleph_1} + OCA$  there is an automorphism of a subalgebra of size  $\aleph_1$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  that does not extend to all of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

## Theorem (Velickovic)

$MA_{\aleph_1} + OCA$  implies that all automorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  are trivial.

This leads to the following observation that was pointed out by Farah.

Under  $MA_{\aleph_1} + OCA$  there is an automorphism of a subalgebra of size  $\aleph_1$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$  that does not extend to all of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

## The group $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$

Let  $\text{Sym}(\omega)$  denote the group of all permutations of  $\omega$  and let  $\text{FS}$  denote the normal subgroup of permutations that move only finitely many elements.

Alperin, Covington, and Macpherson showed that the automorphism group of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  is generated by the inner automorphisms together with the automorphism induced by the shift map  $n \mapsto n + 1$ .

It follows that the automorphism group of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  is isomorphic to the group of trivial automorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

This leads to the following fact:

$\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  has two countable, isomorphic subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  such that no automorphism of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  maps  $A$  to  $B$ .



## The group $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$

Let  $\text{Sym}(\omega)$  denote the group of all permutations of  $\omega$  and let  $\text{FS}$  denote the normal subgroup of permutations that move only finitely many elements.

Alperin, Covington, and Macpherson showed that the automorphism group of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  is generated by the inner automorphisms together with the automorphism induced by the shift map  $n \mapsto n + 1$ .

It follows that the automorphism group of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  is isomorphic to the group of trivial automorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

This leads to the following fact:

$\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  has two countable, isomorphic subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  such that no automorphism of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  maps  $A$  to  $B$ .

## The group $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$

Let  $\text{Sym}(\omega)$  denote the group of all permutations of  $\omega$  and let  $\text{FS}$  denote the normal subgroup of permutations that move only finitely many elements.

Alperin, Covington, and Macpherson showed that the automorphism group of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  is generated by the inner automorphisms together with the automorphism induced by the shift map  $n \mapsto n + 1$ .

It follows that the automorphism group of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  is isomorphic to the group of trivial automorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

This leads to the following fact:

$\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  has two countable, isomorphic subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  such that no automorphism of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  maps  $A$  to  $B$ .

## The group $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$

Let  $\text{Sym}(\omega)$  denote the group of all permutations of  $\omega$  and let  $\text{FS}$  denote the normal subgroup of permutations that move only finitely many elements.

Alperin, Covington, and Macpherson showed that the automorphism group of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  is generated by the inner automorphisms together with the automorphism induced by the shift map  $n \mapsto n + 1$ .

It follows that the automorphism group of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  is isomorphic to the group of trivial automorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

This leads to the following fact:

$\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  has two countable, isomorphic subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  such that no automorphism of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  maps  $A$  to  $B$ .

## The group $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$

Let  $\text{Sym}(\omega)$  denote the group of all permutations of  $\omega$  and let  $\text{FS}$  denote the normal subgroup of permutations that move only finitely many elements.

Alperin, Covington, and Macpherson showed that the automorphism group of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  is generated by the inner automorphisms together with the automorphism induced by the shift map  $n \mapsto n + 1$ .

It follows that the automorphism group of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  is isomorphic to the group of trivial automorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

This leads to the following fact:

$\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  has two countable, isomorphic subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  such that no automorphism of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  maps  $A$  to  $B$ .

## The group $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$

Let  $\text{Sym}(\omega)$  denote the group of all permutations of  $\omega$  and let  $\text{FS}$  denote the normal subgroup of permutations that move only finitely many elements.

Alperin, Covington, and Macpherson showed that the automorphism group of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  is generated by the inner automorphisms together with the automorphism induced by the shift map  $n \mapsto n + 1$ .

It follows that the automorphism group of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  is isomorphic to the group of trivial automorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ .

This leads to the following fact:

$\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  has two countable, isomorphic subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  such that no automorphism of  $\text{Sym}(\omega)/\text{FS}$  maps  $A$  to  $B$ .