### Locally Roelcke precompact Polish groups

Joseph Zielinski

The University of Illinois at Chicago

Twelfth Symposium on General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra July 28, 2016 Prague, Czech Republic

Recall that the notion of a uniform space is a structure of intermediate generality between that of a metric space and that of a topological space and it generalizes certain metric notions, such as uniform continuity, Cauchy sequences, completeness, etc.

Recall that the notion of a uniform space is a structure of intermediate generality between that of a metric space and that of a topological space and it generalizes certain metric notions, such as uniform continuity, Cauchy sequences, completeness, etc.

Every metric space, (X, d), determines a uniformity generated by the entourages,  $\{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid d(x, y) < r\}$ , for  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

Recall that the notion of a uniform space is a structure of intermediate generality between that of a metric space and that of a topological space and it generalizes certain metric notions, such as uniform continuity, Cauchy sequences, completeness, etc.

- Every metric space, (X, d), determines a uniformity generated by the entourages,  $\{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid d(x, y) < r\}$ , for  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .
- Each uniform space, (X, V), determines a topology where the neighborhoods of x ∈ X are the sets E[x] = {y ∈ X | (x, y) ∈ E}, as E ranges over V.

Recall that the notion of a uniform space is a structure of intermediate generality between that of a metric space and that of a topological space and it generalizes certain metric notions, such as uniform continuity, Cauchy sequences, completeness, etc.

- Every metric space, (X, d), determines a uniformity generated by the entourages,  $\{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid d(x, y) < r\}$ , for  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .
- Each uniform space, (X, V), determines a topology where the neighborhoods of x ∈ X are the sets
  E[x] = {y ∈ X | (x, y) ∈ E}, as E ranges over V.

To every topological group, *G*, there are four associated uniformities: the *left*, *right*, *two-sided*, and *Roelcke* uniformities, each compatible with the topology.

Recall that the notion of a uniform space is a structure of intermediate generality between that of a metric space and that of a topological space and it generalizes certain metric notions, such as uniform continuity, Cauchy sequences, completeness, etc.

- Every metric space, (X, d), determines a uniformity generated by the entourages,  $\{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid d(x, y) < r\}$ , for  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .
- Each uniform space, (X, V), determines a topology where the neighborhoods of x ∈ X are the sets
  E[x] = {y ∈ X | (x, y) ∈ E}, as E ranges over V.

To every topological group, *G*, there are four associated uniformities: the *left*, *right*, *two-sided*, and *Roelcke* uniformities, each compatible with the topology.

In a Polish group, these uniformities are all metrizable and the uniform notions coincide with their metric analogues in a compatible metric.

J. Zielinski (UIC)

The left uniformity is generated by the entourages

$$\{(g,h)\in G^2\mid g\in hV\}$$

as V ranges over (open symmetric) identity neighborhoods. (This is the uniformity induced by a compatible left-invariant metric.)

The left uniformity is generated by the entourages

$$\{(g,h)\in G^2\mid g\in hV\}$$

as V ranges over (open symmetric) identity neighborhoods. (This is the uniformity induced by a compatible left-invariant metric.)

The Roelcke uniformity is generated by entourages

$$\{(g,h)\in G^2\mid g\in VhV\}$$

again as V ranges over neighborhoods of  $1_G$ .

An important property of Polish groups is precompactness (total-boundedness) of the Roelcke uniformity/metric:

An important property of Polish groups is precompactness (total-boundedness) of the Roelcke uniformity/metric:

#### Definition

A group, G, is *Roelcke precompact* if for every (open, symmetric) identity neighborhood,  $V \subseteq G$ , there is a finite set,  $F \subseteq G$  such that G = VFV.

An important property of Polish groups is precompactness (total-boundedness) of the Roelcke uniformity/metric:

#### Definition

A group, G, is *Roelcke precompact* if for every (open, symmetric) identity neighborhood,  $V \subseteq G$ , there is a finite set,  $F \subseteq G$  such that G = VFV.

A substantial theory of these groups has been developed in recent years by, e.g., V.V. Uspenskij and T. Tsankov, and there are strong connections between their topological group properties and the properties of structures from which they arise as transformations.

We next recall some background from the geometric group theory of large topological groups due to C. Rosendal.

We next recall some background from the geometric group theory of large topological groups due to C. Rosendal.

Just as a uniform structure captures a uniform notion of nearness (a uniform selection of the filters of neighborhoods), there is a dual notion, a *coarse structure*, capturing a uniform notion of *boundedness* (a uniform selection of the ideals of bounded sets).

We next recall some background from the geometric group theory of large topological groups due to C. Rosendal.

Just as a uniform structure captures a uniform notion of nearness (a uniform selection of the filters of neighborhoods), there is a dual notion, a *coarse structure*, capturing a uniform notion of *boundedness* (a uniform selection of the ideals of bounded sets).

For example, for a metric space, (X, d), the entourages,

$$\{(x,y) \in X^2 \mid d(x,y) \leqslant r\},\$$

as r varies over  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , generates a coarse structure on X.

The main observation underlying this approach is that there is an ideal in every group that captures an *essential* quality of "boundedness", and in this way determines a canonical coarse structure akin to the canonical group uniformities.

The main observation underlying this approach is that there is an ideal in every group that captures an *essential* quality of "boundedness", and in this way determines a canonical coarse structure akin to the canonical group uniformities.

#### Definition

A subset  $A \subseteq G$  is coarsely bounded in G if  $\sup\{\rho(g,h) \mid g, h \in A\} < \infty$ for every continuous, left-invariant pseudometric,  $\rho$ , on G. The main observation underlying this approach is that there is an ideal in every group that captures an *essential* quality of "boundedness", and in this way determines a canonical coarse structure akin to the canonical group uniformities.

#### Definition

A subset  $A \subseteq G$  is coarsely bounded in G if  $\sup\{\rho(g,h) \mid g,h \in A\} < \infty$ for every continuous, left-invariant pseudometric,  $\rho$ , on G.

We denote the ideal of coarsely bounded sets by OB(G) (or just OB when G is implicit).

### Coarse structures and locally bounded groups

### Definition

A Polish group, G, is *coarsely bounded* when G is a coarsely bounded subset of itself, i.e., when every compatible left-invariant metric on G has finite diameter.

This means that G has trivial large-scale geometry (it is coarsely equivalent to a point).

### Definition

A Polish group, G, is *coarsely bounded* when G is a coarsely bounded subset of itself, i.e., when every compatible left-invariant metric on G has finite diameter.

This means that G has trivial large-scale geometry (it is coarsely equivalent to a point).

### Definition

A Polish group, G, is *locally bounded* when there is a coarsely bounded identity neighborhood  $U \subseteq G$ .

This means that G has a metrizable large-scale geometry. It admits a *coarsely proper* metric: a left invariant metric assigning infinite diameter to all sets that are not in OB.

# Locally Roelcke precompact groups

There is another characterization of the coarsely bounded sets.

There is another characterization of the coarsely bounded sets.

### Theorem (Rosendal)

A subset  $A \subseteq G$  is coarsely bounded if and only if for every identity neighborhood  $V \subseteq G$  there is a finite  $F \subseteq G$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $A \subseteq (FV)^k$ . There is another characterization of the coarsely bounded sets.

### Theorem (Rosendal)

A subset  $A \subseteq G$  is coarsely bounded if and only if for every identity neighborhood  $V \subseteq G$  there is a finite  $F \subseteq G$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $A \subseteq (FV)^k$ .

Thus a Polish group G is coarsely bounded if and only if for every V there is a finite F and bound k so that  $G = (FV)^k$ .

There is another characterization of the coarsely bounded sets.

### Theorem (Rosendal)

A subset  $A \subseteq G$  is coarsely bounded if and only if for every identity neighborhood  $V \subseteq G$  there is a finite  $F \subseteq G$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $A \subseteq (FV)^k$ .

Thus a Polish group G is coarsely bounded if and only if for every V there is a finite F and bound k so that  $G = (FV)^k$ .

Recalling the definition of Roelcke precompactness for a Polish group, we see this as being a special case of coarse boundedness: for every V there is a finite F so that G = VFV.

# Locally Roelcke precompact groups

This motivates the following definitions:

This motivates the following definitions:

### Definition

A subset  $A \subseteq G$  is *(relatively)* Roelcke precompact in G if for every identity neighborhood  $V \subseteq G$  there is a finite  $F \subseteq G$ (equivalently,  $F \subseteq A$ ) so that  $A \subseteq VFV$ . This motivates the following definitions:

#### Definition

A subset  $A \subseteq G$  is *(relatively)* Roelcke precompact in G if for every identity neighborhood  $V \subseteq G$  there is a finite  $F \subseteq G$ (equivalently,  $F \subseteq A$ ) so that  $A \subseteq VFV$ .

The Roelcke precompact subsets form an ideal, which we denote by  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  (or simply  $\mathcal{R}$ ).

This motivates the following definitions:

#### Definition

A subset  $A \subseteq G$  is *(relatively)* Roelcke precompact in G if for every identity neighborhood  $V \subseteq G$  there is a finite  $F \subseteq G$ (equivalently,  $F \subseteq A$ ) so that  $A \subseteq VFV$ .

The Roelcke precompact subsets form an ideal, which we denote by  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  (or simply  $\mathcal{R}$ ).

#### Definition

A Polish group, *G*, is *locally Roelcke precompact* if it possesses a Roelcke precompact identity neighborhood.

all locally compact Polish groups

- all locally compact Polish groups
- all Roelcke precompact Polish groups

### Locally Roelcke precompact groups Examples

#### Definition

A metric space, (X, d), *closely embeds amalgams* if, for every finite  $A \subseteq X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $i, j, k, l : A \hookrightarrow X$  have

$$|d(i(a), j(b)) - d(k(a), l(b))| < \delta$$
 for every  $a, b \in A$ ,

then there are  $k', l' : A \hookrightarrow X$  with d(k(a), l(b)) = d(k'(a), l'(b))and  $\max\{d(i(a), k'(a)), d(j(a), l'(a))\} < \varepsilon$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

### Locally Roelcke precompact groups Examples

#### Definition

A metric space, (X, d), *closely embeds amalgams* if, for every finite  $A \subseteq X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $i, j, k, l : A \hookrightarrow X$  have

$$d(i(a), j(b)) - d(k(a), l(b))| < \delta \text{ for every } a, b \in A,$$

then there are  $k', l' : A \hookrightarrow X$  with d(k(a), l(b)) = d(k'(a), l'(b))and  $\max\{d(i(a), k'(a)), d(j(a), l'(a))\} < \varepsilon$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

#### Theorem

Suppose (X, d) is a separable, complete, ultrahomogeneous metric space closely embedding amalgams. Then if  $p \in X$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

$$V_{p,r} = \{ f \in \text{Iso}(X) \mid d(p, f(p)) < r \}$$

is a Roelcke precompact subset of Iso(X).

- all locally compact Polish groups
- all Roelcke precompact Polish groups

- all locally compact Polish groups
- all Roelcke precompact Polish groups
- Isometry groups of separable, complete, ultrahomogeneous metric spaces closely embedding amalgams.

Examples of locally Roelcke precompact Polish groups:

- all locally compact Polish groups
- all Roelcke precompact Polish groups
- Isometry groups of separable, complete, ultrahomogeneous metric spaces closely embedding amalgams.
  - Old examples:  $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_1)$ ,  $\operatorname{U}(H)$ ,  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $S_{\infty}$

Examples of locally Roelcke precompact Polish groups:

- all locally compact Polish groups
- all Roelcke precompact Polish groups
- Isometry groups of separable, complete, ultrahomogeneous metric spaces closely embedding amalgams.
  - Old examples:  $\operatorname{Iso}(\mathbb{U}_1)$ ,  $\operatorname{U}(H)$ ,  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $S_{\infty}$
  - New examples:  $Iso(\mathbb{U})$ , the automorphism group of the countably branching tree—more generally,  $Aut(\Gamma)$  for any metrically homogeneous graph,  $\Gamma$

Examples of locally Roelcke precompact Polish groups:

- all locally compact Polish groups
- all Roelcke precompact Polish groups
- Isometry groups of separable, complete, ultrahomogeneous metric spaces closely embedding amalgams.
  - Old examples:  $Iso(\mathbb{U}_1)$ , U(H),  $Aut(\mathbf{R})$ ,  $S_{\infty}$
  - New examples:  $Iso(\mathbb{U})$ , the automorphism group of the countably branching tree—more generally,  $Aut(\Gamma)$  for any metrically homogeneous graph,  $\Gamma$
- (Rosendal) the group of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of ℝ that commute with integral shifts (and the corresponding subgroup of Aut(ℚ, <))</p>

### Definition

Let  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  denote the completion of G in the Roelcke uniformity.

### Definition

Let  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  denote the completion of G in the Roelcke uniformity.

Basic fact: G is Roelcke precompact if and only if  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  is compact.

Let  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  denote the completion of G in the Roelcke uniformity.

Basic fact: G is Roelcke precompact if and only if  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  is compact. More generally,  $A \in \mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\overline{A}$ , the closure of A in  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$ , is compact.

Let  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  denote the completion of G in the Roelcke uniformity.

Basic fact: G is Roelcke precompact if and only if  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  is compact. More generally,  $A \in \mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\overline{A}$ , the closure of A in  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$ , is compact.

It's natural to ask if the Roelcke completion,  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  of a locally Roelcke precompact Polish group is locally compact.

Let  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  denote the completion of G in the Roelcke uniformity.

Basic fact: G is Roelcke precompact if and only if  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  is compact. More generally,  $A \in \mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\overline{A}$ , the closure of A in  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$ , is compact.

It's natural to ask if the Roelcke completion,  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  of a locally Roelcke precompact Polish group is locally compact.

Note: The other direction is clear. If  $K \subseteq \overline{G}^{\wedge}$  is a compact neighborhood of  $1_G$ , then its trace  $K \cap G$  is in  $\mathcal{R}(G)$ .

Let  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  denote the completion of G in the Roelcke uniformity.

Basic fact: G is Roelcke precompact if and only if  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  is compact. More generally,  $A \in \mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\overline{A}$ , the closure of A in  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$ , is compact.

It's natural to ask if the Roelcke completion,  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  of a locally Roelcke precompact Polish group is locally compact.

Note: The other direction is clear. If  $K \subseteq \overline{G}^{\wedge}$  is a compact neighborhood of  $1_G$ , then its trace  $K \cap G$  is in  $\mathcal{R}(G)$ .

Similarly, every  $g \in G$  has a compact neighborhood in  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$ . So this question amounts to asking if every  $x \in \overline{G}^{\wedge} \setminus G$  has a compact neighborhood.

Locally Roelcke precompact groups vs. groups of bounded geometry

Left multiplication in G extends to an action  $G \curvearrowright \overline{G}^{\wedge}$ .

Left multiplication in G extends to an action  $G \curvearrowright \overline{G}^{\wedge}$ . However, in a locally Roelcke precompact group, it may be that  $\overline{G}^{\wedge} \neq G \cdot K$  for every compact neighborhood,  $K \ni 1_G$ .

Left multiplication in G extends to an action  $G \curvearrowright \overline{G}^{\wedge}$ . However, in a locally Roelcke precompact group, it may be that  $\overline{G}^{\wedge} \neq G \cdot K$  for every compact neighborhood,  $K \ni 1_G$ .

#### Example

Left multiplication in G extends to an action  $G \curvearrowright \overline{G}^{\wedge}$ . However, in a locally Roelcke precompact group, it may be that  $\overline{G}^{\wedge} \neq G \cdot K$  for every compact neighborhood,  $K \ni 1_G$ .

#### Example

Let  $G = \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$ . For any  $a, b \in T_{\infty}$ ,  $x \in \overline{G}^{\wedge}$ , and Roelcke-Cauchy sequence  $f_n \to x$ , the  $f_n$ 's eventually agree on the distance  $d(a, f_n(b))$ .

Left multiplication in G extends to an action  $G \curvearrowright \overline{G}^{\wedge}$ . However, in a locally Roelcke precompact group, it may be that  $\overline{G}^{\wedge} \neq G \cdot K$  for every compact neighborhood,  $K \ni 1_G$ .

#### Example

Let  $G = \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$ . For any  $a, b \in T_{\infty}$ ,  $x \in \overline{G}^{\wedge}$ , and Roelcke-Cauchy sequence  $f_n \to x$ , the  $f_n$ 's eventually agree on the distance  $d(a, f_n(b))$ .

If  $a, b \in T_{\infty}$  are fixed and  $K \subseteq \overline{G}^{\wedge}$  is compact, then the set  $\{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists (f_n) \lim f_n \in K \text{ and } \lim d(a, f_n(b)) = r\}$  is finite.

Left multiplication in G extends to an action  $G \curvearrowright \overline{G}^{\wedge}$ . However, in a locally Roelcke precompact group, it may be that  $\overline{G}^{\wedge} \neq G \cdot K$  for every compact neighborhood,  $K \ni 1_G$ .

#### Example

Let  $G = \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$ . For any  $a, b \in T_{\infty}$ ,  $x \in \overline{G}^{\wedge}$ , and Roelcke-Cauchy sequence  $f_n \to x$ , the  $f_n$ 's eventually agree on the distance  $d(a, f_n(b))$ .

If  $a, b \in T_{\infty}$  are fixed and  $K \subseteq \overline{G}^{\wedge}$  is compact, then the set  $\{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists (f_n) \lim f_n \in K \text{ and } \lim d(a, f_n(b)) = r\}$  is finite.

Then construct  $y \in \overline{G}^{\wedge}$  so that  $\lim d(a', g_n(b'))$  exceeds this bound for all  $a', b' \in T_{\infty}$  and all  $g_n \to y$ .

Left multiplication in G extends to an action  $G \curvearrowright \overline{G}^{\wedge}$ . However, in a locally Roelcke precompact group, it may be that  $\overline{G}^{\wedge} \neq G \cdot K$  for every compact neighborhood,  $K \ni 1_G$ .

#### Example

Let  $G = \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$ . For any  $a, b \in T_{\infty}$ ,  $x \in \overline{G}^{\wedge}$ , and Roelcke-Cauchy sequence  $f_n \to x$ , the  $f_n$ 's eventually agree on the distance  $d(a, f_n(b))$ .

If  $a, b \in T_{\infty}$  are fixed and  $K \subseteq \overline{G}^{\wedge}$  is compact, then the set  $\{r \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists (f_n) \lim f_n \in K \text{ and } \lim d(a, f_n(b)) = r\}$  is finite.

Then construct  $y \in \overline{G}^{\wedge}$  so that  $\lim d(a', g_n(b'))$  exceeds this bound for all  $a', b' \in T_{\infty}$  and all  $g_n \to y$ .

This property will also hold for all  $z\in G\cdot y,$  and therefore,  $G\cdot y\cap K=\emptyset.$ 

### Definition (Rosendal)

A Polish group, G, has bounded geometry if there is an  $A \in OB$ so that every  $B \in OB$  is covered by finitely-many translates of A.

### Definition (Rosendal)

A Polish group, G, has bounded geometry if there is an  $A \in OB$ so that every  $B \in OB$  is covered by finitely-many translates of A.

Using the fact that  $Iso(\mathbb{U})$  is locally Roelcke precompact, Rosendal provides a characterization of the groups with bounded geometry.

### Definition (Rosendal)

A Polish group, G, has bounded geometry if there is an  $A \in OB$ so that every  $B \in OB$  is covered by finitely-many translates of A.

Using the fact that  $Iso(\mathbb{U})$  is locally Roelcke precompact, Rosendal provides a characterization of the groups with bounded geometry.

Adapting the proof of this characterization to the specific case of locally Roelcke precompact groups, one sees that such groups have bounded geometry *exactly when the above strategy works*.

## Definition (Rosendal)

A Polish group, G, has bounded geometry if there is an  $A \in OB$ so that every  $B \in OB$  is covered by finitely-many translates of A.

Using the fact that  $Iso(\mathbb{U})$  is locally Roelcke precompact, Rosendal provides a characterization of the groups with bounded geometry.

Adapting the proof of this characterization to the specific case of locally Roelcke precompact groups, one sees that such groups have bounded geometry *exactly when the above strategy works*.

### Proposition

A locally Roelcke precompact Polish group, G, has bounded geometry if and only if there is a compact neighborhood K of  $1_G$  in  $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  with  $\overline{G}^{\wedge} = G \cdot K$ .

On the other hand, the Roelcke completion of  $\operatorname{Aut}(T_\infty)$  is locally compact.

For any  $a \in T_{\infty}$ , if  $V_a = \{f \in \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty}) \mid f(a) = a\}$  and  $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$ , then  $V_a g V_a$  is relatively Roelcke precompact. In other words,  $\operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$  is *uniformly* locally precompact, and so  $\overline{\operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})}^{\wedge}$  is (uniformly) locally compact.

On the other hand, the Roelcke completion of  $\operatorname{Aut}(T_\infty)$  is locally compact.

For any  $a \in T_{\infty}$ , if  $V_a = \{f \in \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty}) \mid f(a) = a\}$  and  $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$ , then  $V_a g V_a$  is relatively Roelcke precompact. In other words,  $\operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$  is *uniformly* locally precompact, and so  $\overline{\operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})}^{\wedge}$  is (uniformly) locally compact.

In general, if U is a relatively Roelcke precompact identity neighborhood in G, then each gU is relatively Roelcke precompact and so it suffices that their product, UgU, remains Roelcke precompact.

 $\begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{OB} \\ A \subseteq VFV & A \subseteq (FV)^k \end{array}$ 

 $\mathcal{R}$  $A \subseteq VFV$ 

 $\mathcal{OB}$  $A \subseteq (FV)^k$ 

- closed under taking subsets
- closed under finite unions

closed under taking subsets

closed under finite unions

 $\mathcal{R}$ 

# $A\subseteq VFV$

- closed under taking subsets
- closed under finite unions
- closed under left/right translations

- closed under taking subsets
- closed under finite unions
- closed under left/right translations

 $\mathcal{R}$ 

# $A\subseteq VFV$

- closed under taking subsets
- closed under finite unions
- closed under left/right translations
- stable under inversion

- closed under taking subsets
- closed under finite unions
- closed under left/right translations
- stable under inversion

 $\mathcal{R}$ 

# $A\subseteq VFV$

- closed under taking subsets
- closed under finite unions
- closed under left/right translations
- stable under inversion
- stable under topological closure

- closed under taking subsets
- closed under finite unions
- closed under left/right translations
- stable under inversion
- stable under topological closure

 $\mathcal{R}$ 

# $A\subseteq VFV$

- closed under taking subsets
- closed under finite unions
- closed under left/right translations
- stable under inversion
- stable under topological closure
- not always stable under products

- closed under taking subsets
- closed under finite unions
- closed under left/right translations
- stable under inversion
- stable under topological closure
- stable under products

## The ideal of relatively Roelcke precompact sets Stability under products

### Example

#### Example

Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}} \rtimes S_{\infty}$ . If  $A = \{(0, 0, ...)\} \times S_{\infty}$ , then A is relatively Roelcke precompact. Let  $g = ((0, 1, 2, ...), 1_{S_{\infty}})$ . Then A and gA are in  $\mathcal{R}$ , while their product AgA is not.

#### Example

Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}} \rtimes S_{\infty}$ . If  $A = \{(0, 0, ...)\} \times S_{\infty}$ , then A is relatively Roelcke precompact. Let  $g = ((0, 1, 2, ...), 1_{S_{\infty}})$ . Then A and gA are in  $\mathcal{R}$ , while their product AgA is not.

However, for some classes of groups, this is the case:

#### Example

Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}} \rtimes S_{\infty}$ . If  $A = \{(0, 0, ...)\} \times S_{\infty}$ , then A is relatively Roelcke precompact. Let  $g = ((0, 1, 2, ...), 1_{S_{\infty}})$ . Then A and gA are in  $\mathcal{R}$ , while their product AgA is not.

However, for some classes of groups, this is the case:

#### Theorem

If a Polish group, G, is Weil-complete (CLI) or locally Roelcke precompact, then  $\mathcal{R}$  is stable under products.

Through this, we have the characterization:

Through this, we have the characterization:

#### Theorem

The following are equivalent for a Polish group, G:

- G is locally Roelcke precompact
- $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  is locally compact
- $\overline{G}^{\wedge}$  is uniformly locally compact
- G is locally bounded and  $\mathcal{R}(G) = \mathcal{OB}(G)$

Some consequences

There are some immediate consequences for properties of locally Roelcke precompact Polish groups.

Some consequences

There are some immediate consequences for properties of locally Roelcke precompact Polish groups.

#### Corollary

A locally Roelcke precompact Polish group is Weil-complete (CLI) if and only if it is locally compact.

Some consequences

There are some immediate consequences for properties of locally Roelcke precompact Polish groups.

#### Corollary

A locally Roelcke precompact Polish group is Weil-complete (CLI) if and only if it is locally compact.

#### Corollary

A Polish group is Roelcke precompact if and only if it is locally Roelcke precompact and coarsely bounded.

Further thoughts:

Further thoughts:

While recent advances have highlighted the role of Roelcke precompact groups, such groups are trivial from the perspective of large-scale geometry. The locally Roelcke precompact groups are a modest generalization that can admit interesting coarse geometry. Further thoughts:

- While recent advances have highlighted the role of Roelcke precompact groups, such groups are trivial from the perspective of large-scale geometry. The locally Roelcke precompact groups are a modest generalization that can admit interesting coarse geometry.
- They furnish another class of groups for which the coarsely bounded sets are more tractable—they are, for locally Roelcke precompact G, precisely the traces of the compact sets in locally compact space in which G is densely embedded.

Thank you!