MORE ON THE PROPERTIES OF ALMOST CONNECTED PRO-LIE GROUPS

Mikhail Tkachenko Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Mexico City mich@xanum.uam.mx (Joint work with Arkady Leiderman)

XII Symposium on Topology and Its Applications Prague, Czech Republic, 2016

Contents:

1. Pro-Lie groups, some background

2. Almost connected pro-Lie groups

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

3. Open problems

Definition 1.1 (Hofmann–Morris).

A projective limit of <u>finite-dimensional</u> Lie groups is called a pro-Lie group.

Definition 1.1 (Hofmann–Morris).

A projective limit of <u>finite-dimensional</u> Lie groups is called a pro-Lie group.

[Lie group will always mean a finite-dimensional real Lie group.]

Definition 1.1 (Hofmann–Morris).

A projective limit of <u>finite-dimensional</u> Lie groups is called a pro-Lie group.

[Lie group will always mean a finite-dimensional real Lie group.] In other words, a topological group G is a pro-Lie group if it is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of an arbitrary product of Lie groups.

Definition 1.1 (Hofmann–Morris).

A projective limit of <u>finite-dimensional</u> Lie groups is called a pro-Lie group.

[Lie group will always mean a finite-dimensional real Lie group.] In other words, a topological group G is a pro-Lie group if it is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of an arbitrary product of Lie groups.

Equivalently, G is a pro-Lie group if and only if it satisfies the following two conditions:

Definition 1.1 (Hofmann–Morris).

A projective limit of <u>finite-dimensional</u> Lie groups is called a pro-Lie group.

[Lie group will always mean a finite-dimensional real Lie group.] In other words, a topological group G is a pro-Lie group if it is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of an arbitrary product of Lie groups.

Equivalently, G is a pro-Lie group if and only if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) every neighborhood of the identity in G contains a normal subgroup N such that G/N is a Lie group;

Definition 1.1 (Hofmann–Morris).

A projective limit of <u>finite-dimensional</u> Lie groups is called a pro-Lie group.

[Lie group will always mean a finite-dimensional real Lie group.] In other words, a topological group G is a pro-Lie group if it is topologically isomorphic to a closed subgroup of an arbitrary product of Lie groups.

Equivalently, G is a pro-Lie group if and only if it satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) every neighborhood of the identity in G contains a normal subgroup N such that G/N is a Lie group;

(ii) G is complete.

The class $p\mathfrak{LG}$ of pro-Lie groups contains:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

The class $p\mathfrak{LG}$ of pro-Lie groups contains:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

(i) all Lie groups;

The class $p\mathfrak{LG}$ of pro-Lie groups contains:

- (i) all Lie groups;
- (ii) all compact topological groups;

The class $p \mathfrak{LG}$ of pro-Lie groups contains:

- (i) all Lie groups;
- (ii) all compact topological groups;
- (iii) all connected locally compact groups;

The class $p \mathfrak{LG}$ of pro-Lie groups contains:

- (i) all Lie groups;
- (ii) all compact topological groups;
- (iii) all connected locally compact groups;

(iv) all locally compact Abelian groups.

The class $p \mathfrak{LG}$ of pro-Lie groups contains:

- (i) all Lie groups;
- (ii) all compact topological groups;
- (iii) all connected locally compact groups;
- (iv) all locally compact Abelian groups.

The class of pro-Lie groups is much wider than it appears in (i)–(iv):

The class $p \mathfrak{LG}$ of pro-Lie groups contains:

- (i) all Lie groups;
- (ii) all compact topological groups;
- (iii) all connected locally compact groups;
- (iv) all locally compact Abelian groups.

The class of pro-Lie groups is much wider than it appears in (i)–(iv):

Theorem 1.2.

The class pLO has the following permanence properties:

The class $p \mathfrak{LG}$ of pro-Lie groups contains:

- (i) all Lie groups;
- (ii) all compact topological groups;
- (iii) all connected locally compact groups;
- (iv) all locally compact Abelian groups.

The class of pro-Lie groups is much wider than it appears in (i)–(iv):

Theorem 1.2.

The class $p\mathfrak{LG}$ has the following permanence properties:

(i) a closed subgroup of a group in $p\mathfrak{LG}$ is in $p\mathfrak{LG}$;

The class $p \mathfrak{LG}$ of pro-Lie groups contains:

- (i) all Lie groups;
- (ii) all compact topological groups;
- (iii) all connected locally compact groups;
- (iv) all locally compact Abelian groups.

The class of pro-Lie groups is much wider than it appears in (i)–(iv):

Theorem 1.2.

The class pLO has the following permanence properties:

- (i) a closed subgroup of a group in $p\mathfrak{LG}$ is in $p\mathfrak{LG}$;
- (ii) the class pLG is closed with respect to taking projective limits, so an arbitrary product of groups in pLG is in pLG;

The class $p \mathfrak{LG}$ of pro-Lie groups contains:

- (i) all Lie groups;
- (ii) all compact topological groups;
- (iii) all connected locally compact groups;
- (iv) all locally compact Abelian groups.

The class of pro-Lie groups is much wider than it appears in (i)–(iv):

Theorem 1.2.

The class $p \mathfrak{L} \mathfrak{G}$ has the following permanence properties:

- (i) a closed subgroup of a group in $p\mathfrak{LG}$ is in $p\mathfrak{LG}$;
- (ii) the class pLG is closed with respect to taking projective limits, so an arbitrary product of groups in pLG is in pLG;
- (iii) if N is a closed normal subgroup of a pro-Lie group G, then the quotient group G/N is a pro-Lie group provided that either N locally compact, or N is Polish, or N is almost connected and G/N is complete.

Warning: The quotient group G/N in (iii) can fail to be complete. However the completion of G/N is always a pro-Lie group!

Warning: The quotient group G/N in (iii) can fail to be complete. However the completion of G/N is always a pro-Lie group!

Corollary 1.3.

All discrete groups, arbitrary products Π of discrete groups, and all closed subgroups of Π (i.e. pro-discrete groups) are pro-Lie groups.

Warning: The quotient group G/N in (iii) can fail to be complete. However the completion of G/N is always a pro-Lie group!

Corollary 1.3.

All discrete groups, arbitrary products Π of discrete groups, and all closed subgroups of Π (i.e. pro-discrete groups) are pro-Lie groups.

Example 1.4.

Every infinite-dimensional Banach space B is not a pro-Lie group.

Warning: The quotient group G/N in (iii) can fail to be complete. However the completion of G/N is always a pro-Lie group!

Corollary 1.3.

All discrete groups, arbitrary products Π of discrete groups, and all closed subgroups of Π (i.e. pro-discrete groups) are pro-Lie groups.

Example 1.4.

Every infinite-dimensional Banach space B is not a pro-Lie group.

Indeed, let $U = \{x \in B : ||x|| < 1\}$, where $|| \cdot ||$ is the norm on B. The unit ball U does not contain non-trivial subgroups, while B has infinite dimension.

A challenging open problem:

A challenging open problem:

Problem 2.1 (Hofmann–Morris).

Let G be an arbitrary pro-Lie group and G_0 the connected component of G. Is the quotient group G/G_0 complete (and therefore a pro-Lie group)?

A challenging open problem:

Problem 2.1 (Hofmann–Morris).

Let G be an arbitrary pro-Lie group and G_0 the connected component of G. Is the quotient group G/G_0 complete (and therefore a pro-Lie group)?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Basic definition:

A challenging open problem:

Problem 2.1 (Hofmann–Morris).

Let G be an arbitrary pro-Lie group and G_0 the connected component of G. Is the quotient group G/G_0 complete (and therefore a pro-Lie group)?

Basic definition:

Definition 2.2 (Hofmann–Morris).

A topological group G is almost connected if G/G_0 is a compact group, where G_0 is the connected component of G.

A challenging open problem:

Problem 2.1 (Hofmann–Morris).

Let G be an arbitrary pro-Lie group and G_0 the connected component of G. Is the quotient group G/G_0 complete (and therefore a pro-Lie group)?

Basic definition:

Definition 2.2 (Hofmann–Morris).

A topological group G is almost connected if G/G_0 is a compact group, where G_0 is the connected component of G.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Thus all compact groups and all connected groups are almost connected.

A challenging open problem:

Problem 2.1 (Hofmann–Morris).

Let G be an arbitrary pro-Lie group and G_0 the connected component of G. Is the quotient group G/G_0 complete (and therefore a pro-Lie group)?

Basic definition:

Definition 2.2 (Hofmann–Morris).

A topological group G is almost connected if G/G_0 is a compact group, where G_0 is the connected component of G.

Thus all compact groups and all connected groups are almost connected.

In the sequel we focus on almost connected pro-Lie groups.

A topological group G is called ω -narrow if it can be covered by countably many translates of each neighborhood of the identity.

A topological group G is called ω -narrow if it can be covered by countably many translates of each neighborhood of the identity. Clearly every Lindelöf group is ω -narrow.

A topological group G is called ω -narrow if it can be covered by countably many translates of each neighborhood of the identity. Clearly every Lindelöf group is ω -narrow. The class of ω -narrow groups is closed under taking arbitrary products, continuous homomorphic images and *arbitrary* subgroups.

A topological group G is called ω -narrow if it can be covered by countably many translates of each neighborhood of the identity. Clearly every Lindelöf group is ω -narrow. The class of ω -narrow groups is closed under taking arbitrary products, continuous homomorphic images and *arbitrary* subgroups.

Lemma 2.3.

Every almost connected pro-Lie group is ω -narrow.

A topological group G is called ω -narrow if it can be covered by countably many translates of each neighborhood of the identity. Clearly every Lindelöf group is ω -narrow. The class of ω -narrow groups is closed under taking arbitrary products, continuous homomorphic images and *arbitrary* subgroups.

Lemma 2.3.

Every almost connected pro-Lie group is ω -narrow.

Hint: ω -narrowness is a three space property:

If $N \trianglelefteq G$ and both N and G/N are ω -narrow $\Longrightarrow G$ is ω -narrow.

A topological group G is called ω -narrow if it can be covered by countably many translates of each neighborhood of the identity. Clearly every Lindelöf group is ω -narrow. The class of ω -narrow groups is closed under taking arbitrary products, continuous homomorphic images and *arbitrary* subgroups.

Lemma 2.3.

Every almost connected pro-Lie group is ω -narrow.

Hint: ω -narrowness is a three space property:

If $N \trianglelefteq G$ and both N and G/N are ω -narrow $\Longrightarrow G$ is ω -narrow.

Clearly every compact group is ω -narrow.

A topological group G is called ω -narrow if it can be covered by countably many translates of each neighborhood of the identity. Clearly every Lindelöf group is ω -narrow. The class of ω -narrow groups is closed under taking arbitrary products, continuous homomorphic images and *arbitrary* subgroups.

Lemma 2.3.

Every almost connected pro-Lie group is ω -narrow.

Hint: ω -narrowness is a three space property:

If $N \trianglelefteq G$ and both N and G/N are ω -narrow $\Longrightarrow G$ is ω -narrow.

Clearly every compact group is ω -narrow. So it suffices to verify that every <u>connected</u> pro-Lie group is ω -narrow.

A topological group G is called ω -narrow if it can be covered by countably many translates of each neighborhood of the identity. Clearly every Lindelöf group is ω -narrow. The class of ω -narrow groups is closed under taking arbitrary products, continuous homomorphic images and *arbitrary* subgroups.

Lemma 2.3.

Every almost connected pro-Lie group is ω -narrow.

Hint: ω -narrowness is a three space property:

If $N \trianglelefteq G$ and both N and G/N are ω -narrow $\Longrightarrow G$ is ω -narrow.

Clearly every compact group is ω -narrow. So it suffices to verify that every <u>connected</u> pro-Lie group is ω -narrow. The latter follows from the fact that every connected locally compact group is σ -compact and, hence, ω -narrow.
Our aim is to find more topological (or mixed topological-algebraic) properties of almost connected pro-Lie groups. Here are several natural questions:

Our aim is to find more topological (or mixed topological-algebraic) properties of almost connected pro-Lie groups. Here are several natural questions:

Problem 2.4.

Let G be an arbitrary almost connected pro-Lie group.

Our aim is to find more topological (or mixed topological-algebraic) properties of almost connected pro-Lie groups. Here are several natural questions:

Problem 2.4.

Let G be an arbitrary almost connected pro-Lie group.

(a) Does G have countable cellularity? [top]

Our aim is to find more topological (or mixed topological-algebraic) properties of almost connected pro-Lie groups. Here are several natural questions:

Problem 2.4.

Let G be an arbitrary almost connected pro-Lie group.

(a) Does G have countable cellularity? [top]

(b) Does G have the Baire property? [top]

Our aim is to find more topological (or mixed topological-algebraic) properties of almost connected pro-Lie groups. Here are several natural questions:

Problem 2.4.

Let G be an arbitrary almost connected pro-Lie group.

(a) Does G have countable cellularity? [top]

(b) Does G have the Baire property? [top]

(c) Does $t(G) \leq \omega$ imply that G is separable metrizable? [top]

Our aim is to find more topological (or mixed topological-algebraic) properties of almost connected pro-Lie groups. Here are several natural questions:

Problem 2.4.

Let G be an arbitrary almost connected pro-Lie group.

(a) Does G have countable cellularity? [top]

(b) Does G have the Baire property? [top]

(c) Does $t(G) \leq \omega$ imply that G is separable metrizable? [top]

(d) Is it true that G is separable provided $w(G) \leq 2^{\omega}$? [top]

Our aim is to find more topological (or mixed topological-algebraic) properties of almost connected pro-Lie groups. Here are several natural questions:

Problem 2.4.

Let G be an arbitrary almost connected pro-Lie group.

(a) Does G have countable cellularity? [top]

- (b) Does G have the Baire property? [top]
- (c) Does $t(G) \leq \omega$ imply that G is separable metrizable? [top]

- (d) Is it true that G is separable provided $w(G) \leq 2^{\omega}$? [top]
- (e) *Is G* **R**-*factorizable*? **[top**+alg]

Our aim is to find more topological (or mixed topological-algebraic) properties of almost connected pro-Lie groups. Here are several natural questions:

Problem 2.4.

Let G be an arbitrary almost connected pro-Lie group.

(a) Does G have countable cellularity? [top]

- (b) Does G have the Baire property? [top]
- (c) Does $t(G) \leq \omega$ imply that G is separable metrizable? [top]
- (d) Is it true that G is separable provided $w(G) \leq 2^{\omega}$? [top]
- (e) *Is G* **ℝ**-*factorizable*? **[top**+alg]

It turns out that the answer to all of (a)-(e) is "Yes".

A deep fact from the structure theory for almost connected pro-Lie groups:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

A deep fact from the structure theory for almost connected pro-Lie groups:

Theorem 2.5 (Hofmann–Morris).

Let G be an arbitrary almost connected pro-Lie group. Then G contains a compact subgroup C such that G is homeomorphic to the product $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$, for some cardinal κ .

A deep fact from the structure theory for almost connected pro-Lie groups:

Theorem 2.5 (Hofmann–Morris).

Let G be an arbitrary almost connected pro-Lie group. Then G contains a compact subgroup C such that G is homeomorphic to the product $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$, for some cardinal κ . If G is abelian, then it is topologically isomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$.

A deep fact from the structure theory for almost connected pro-Lie groups:

Theorem 2.5 (Hofmann–Morris).

Let G be an arbitrary almost connected pro-Lie group. Then G contains a compact subgroup C such that G is homeomorphic to the product $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$, for some cardinal κ . If G is abelian, then it is topologically isomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Therefore, in the abelian case, the affirmative answer to items (a)-(e) of Problem 2.4 is relatively easy.

A deep fact from the structure theory for almost connected pro-Lie groups:

Theorem 2.5 (Hofmann–Morris).

Let G be an arbitrary almost connected pro-Lie group. Then G contains a compact subgroup C such that G is homeomorphic to the product $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$, for some cardinal κ . If G is abelian, then it is topologically isomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$.

Therefore, in the abelian case, the affirmative answer to items (a)-(e) of Problem 2.4 is relatively easy. The same remains valid for (a)-(d) in the general case, since all the properties in (a)-(d) are purely topological.

A deep fact from the structure theory for almost connected pro-Lie groups:

Theorem 2.5 (Hofmann–Morris).

Let G be an arbitrary almost connected pro-Lie group. Then G contains a compact subgroup C such that G is homeomorphic to the product $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$, for some cardinal κ . If G is abelian, then it is topologically isomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$.

Therefore, in the abelian case, the affirmative answer to items (a)-(e) of Problem 2.4 is relatively easy. The same remains valid for (a)-(d) in the general case, since all the properties in (a)-(d) are purely topological.

Therefore the only difficulty is to prove the following:

(e) Every almost connected pro-Lie group is \mathbb{R} -factorizable.

A deep fact from the structure theory for almost connected pro-Lie groups:

Theorem 2.5 (Hofmann–Morris).

Let G be an arbitrary almost connected pro-Lie group. Then G contains a compact subgroup C such that G is homeomorphic to the product $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$, for some cardinal κ . If G is abelian, then it is topologically isomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$.

Therefore, in the abelian case, the affirmative answer to items (a)-(e) of Problem 2.4 is relatively easy. The same remains valid for (a)-(d) in the general case, since all the properties in (a)-(d) are purely topological.

Therefore the only difficulty is to prove the following:

(e) Every almost connected pro-Lie group is \mathbb{R} -factorizable.

Let us see some details.

Definition 2.6.

A topological group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable if for every continuous real-valued function f on G, one can find a continuous homomorphism $\varphi \colon G \to H$ onto a second countable topological group H and a continuous function h on H satisfying $f = h \circ \varphi$.

Definition 2.6.

A topological group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable if for every continuous real-valued function f on G, one can find a continuous homomorphism $\varphi: G \to H$ onto a <u>second countable</u> topological group H and a continuous function h on H satisfying $f = h \circ \varphi$. The class of \mathbb{R} -factorizable groups contains:

Definition 2.6.

A topological group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable if for every continuous real-valued function f on G, one can find a continuous homomorphism $\varphi \colon G \to H$ onto a <u>second countable</u> topological group H and a continuous function h on H satisfying $f = h \circ \varphi$. The class of \mathbb{R} -factorizable groups contains:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(a) all Lindelöf groups;

Definition 2.6.

A topological group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable if for every continuous real-valued function f on G, one can find a continuous homomorphism $\varphi: G \to H$ onto a <u>second countable</u> topological group H and a continuous function h on H satisfying $f = h \circ \varphi$. The class of \mathbb{R} -factorizable groups contains:

- (a) all Lindelöf groups;
- (b) arbitrary subgroups of σ -compact groups;

Definition 2.6.

A topological group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable if for every continuous real-valued function f on G, one can find a continuous homomorphism $\varphi: G \to H$ onto a <u>second countable</u> topological group H and a continuous function h on H satisfying $f = h \circ \varphi$. The class of \mathbb{R} -factorizable groups contains:

- (a) all Lindelöf groups;
- (b) arbitrary subgroups of σ -compact groups;
- (c) arbitrary products of σ -compact groups and dense subgroups of these products.

Definition 2.6.

A topological group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable if for every continuous real-valued function f on G, one can find a continuous homomorphism $\varphi \colon G \to H$ onto a <u>second countable</u> topological group H and a continuous function h on H satisfying $f = h \circ \varphi$. The class of \mathbb{R} -factorizable groups contains:

- (a) all Lindelöf groups;
- (b) arbitrary subgroups of σ -compact groups;
- (c) arbitrary products of σ -compact groups and dense subgroups of these products.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

In particular, every precompact group is \mathbb{R} -factorizable.

Definition 2.6.

A topological group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable if for every continuous real-valued function f on G, one can find a continuous homomorphism $\varphi \colon G \to H$ onto a <u>second countable</u> topological group H and a continuous function h on H satisfying $f = h \circ \varphi$. The class of \mathbb{R} -factorizable groups contains:

- (a) all Lindelöf groups;
- (b) arbitrary subgroups of σ -compact groups;
- (c) arbitrary products of σ -compact groups and dense subgroups of these products.

In particular, every precompact group is \mathbb{R} -factorizable.

Fact 2.7.

Every \mathbb{R} -factorizable group is ω -narrow. The converse is false.

Every separable topological group is ω -narrow, but there exists a separable topological group which fails to be \mathbb{R} -factorizable (Reznichenko and Sipacheva).

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Every separable topological group is ω -narrow, but there exists a separable topological group which fails to be \mathbb{R} -factorizable (Reznichenko and Sipacheva).

Thus, ω -narrow $\neq \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ -factorizable.



Every separable topological group is ω -narrow, but there exists a separable topological group which fails to be \mathbb{R} -factorizable (Reznichenko and Sipacheva).

Thus, ω -narrow $\neq \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ -factorizable.

What about the implication

 ω -narrow & pro-Lie $\Longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ -factorizable?



Every separable topological group is ω -narrow, but there exists a separable topological group which fails to be \mathbb{R} -factorizable (Reznichenko and Sipacheva).

Thus, ω -narrow $\neq \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ -factorizable.

What about the implication

 ω -narrow & pro-Lie $\Longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ -factorizable?

Example 2.8 (Tkachenko, 2001).

There exists an ω -narrow pro-discrete (hence pro-Lie) abelian group G which fails to be \mathbb{R} -factorizable.

$\mathbb R\text{-}\mathsf{factorizable}$ groups

Every separable topological group is ω -narrow, but there exists a separable topological group which fails to be \mathbb{R} -factorizable (Reznichenko and Sipacheva).

Thus, ω -narrow $\neq \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ -factorizable.

What about the implication

 ω -narrow & pro-Lie $\Longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ -factorizable?

Example 2.8 (Tkachenko, 2001).

There exists an ω -narrow pro-discrete (hence pro-Lie) abelian group G which fails to be \mathbb{R} -factorizable.

In fact, G is a <u>closed</u> subgroup of \mathbb{Q}^{ω_1} , where the latter group is endowed with the ω -box topology (and the group \mathbb{Q} of rationals is discrete). The projections of G to countable subproducts are countable, which guarantees that G is ω -narrow.

We say that a space X is ω -cellular if every family γ of G_{δ} -sets in X contains a countable subfamily μ such that $\bigcup \mu$ is dense in $\bigcup \gamma$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

We say that a space X is ω -cellular if every family γ of G_{δ} -sets in X contains a countable subfamily μ such that $\bigcup \mu$ is dense in $\bigcup \gamma$. It is clear that every ω -cellular space has countable cellularity, but the property of being ω -cellular is considerably stronger than countable cellularity.

We say that a space X is ω -cellular if every family γ of G_{δ} -sets in X contains a countable subfamily μ such that $\bigcup \mu$ is dense in $\bigcup \gamma$. It is clear that every ω -cellular space has countable cellularity, but the property of being ω -cellular is considerably stronger than countable cellularity.

Theorem 2.9 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let a topological group H be a continuous homomorphic image of an almost connected pro-Lie group G. Then the following hold:

We say that a space X is ω -cellular if every family γ of G_{δ} -sets in X contains a countable subfamily μ such that $\bigcup \mu$ is dense in $\bigcup \gamma$. It is clear that every ω -cellular space has countable cellularity, but the property of being ω -cellular is considerably stronger than countable cellularity.

Theorem 2.9 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let a topological group H be a continuous homomorphic image of an almost connected pro-Lie group G. Then the following hold: (a) the group H is \mathbb{R} -factorizable;

We say that a space X is ω -cellular if every family γ of G_{δ} -sets in X contains a countable subfamily μ such that $\bigcup \mu$ is dense in $\bigcup \gamma$. It is clear that every ω -cellular space has countable cellularity, but the property of being ω -cellular is considerably stronger than

countable cellularity.

Theorem 2.9 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let a topological group H be a continuous homomorphic image of an almost connected pro-Lie group G. Then the following hold:

- (a) the group H is \mathbb{R} -factorizable;
- (b) the space H is ω -cellular;

We say that a space X is ω -cellular if every family γ of G_{δ} -sets in X contains a countable subfamily μ such that $\bigcup \mu$ is dense in $\bigcup \gamma$. It is clear that every ω -cellular space has countable cellularity, but

the property of being ω -cellular is considerably stronger than countable cellularity.

Theorem 2.9 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let a topological group H be a continuous homomorphic image of an almost connected pro-Lie group G. Then the following hold:

- (a) the group H is \mathbb{R} -factorizable;
- (b) the space H is ω -cellular;
- (c) The Hewitt–Nachbin completion of H, υH, is again an ℝ-factorizable and ω-cellular topological group containing H as a (dense) topological subgroup.

Some proofs

We present briefly some arguments towards the proof of Theorem 2.9. Here is an important ingredient:

Some proofs

We present briefly some arguments towards the proof of Theorem 2.9. Here is an important ingredient:

Theorem 2.10 ("CONTINUOUS IMAGES"-Tk., 2015).

Let $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ be a product space, where each X_i is a regular <u>Lindelöf Σ -space</u> and $f : X \to G$ a continuous mapping of X onto a regular paratopological group G.

Some proofs

We present briefly some arguments towards the proof of Theorem 2.9. Here is an important ingredient:

Theorem 2.10 ("CONTINUOUS IMAGES"-Tk., 2015).

Let $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ be a product space, where each X_i is a regular <u>Lindelöf Σ -space</u> and $f: X \to G$ a continuous mapping of X onto a regular paratopological group G. Then the group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable, ω -cellular, and the Hewitt–Nachbin completion υG of the group G is again a paratopological group containing G as a dense subgroup.
We present briefly some arguments towards the proof of Theorem 2.9. Here is an important ingredient:

Theorem 2.10 ("CONTINUOUS IMAGES"-Tk., 2015).

Let $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ be a product space, where each X_i is a regular <u>Lindelöf Σ -space</u> and $f: X \to G$ a continuous mapping of X onto a regular paratopological group G. Then the group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable, ω -cellular, and the Hewitt–Nachbin completion vGof the group G is again a paratopological group containing G as a dense subgroup. Furthermore, the group vG is \mathbb{R} -factorizable and ω -cellular.

We present briefly some arguments towards the proof of Theorem 2.9. Here is an important ingredient:

Theorem 2.10 ("CONTINUOUS IMAGES"-Tk., 2015).

Let $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ be a product space, where each X_i is a regular <u>Lindelöf Σ -space</u> and $f: X \to G$ a continuous mapping of X onto a regular paratopological group G. Then the group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable, ω -cellular, and the Hewitt–Nachbin completion vGof the group G is again a paratopological group containing G as a dense subgroup. Furthermore, the group vG is \mathbb{R} -factorizable and ω -cellular.

A paratopological group is a group with topology such that multiplication on the group is jointly continuous (but inversion can be discontinuous).

We present briefly some arguments towards the proof of Theorem 2.9. Here is an important ingredient:

Theorem 2.10 ("CONTINUOUS IMAGES"-Tk., 2015).

Let $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ be a product space, where each X_i is a regular <u>Lindelöf Σ -space</u> and $f: X \to G$ a continuous mapping of X onto a regular paratopological group G. Then the group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable, ω -cellular, and the Hewitt–Nachbin completion vGof the group G is again a paratopological group containing G as a dense subgroup. Furthermore, the group vG is \mathbb{R} -factorizable and ω -cellular.

A paratopological group is a group with topology such that multiplication on the group is jointly continuous (but inversion can be discontinuous). The Sorgenfrey line with the usual topology and addition of the reals is a standard example of a paratopological group with discontinuous inversion.

Theorem 2.9 (Leiderman-Tk., 2015).

Let a Hausdorff topological group H be a continuous homomorphic image of an almost connected pro-Lie group G.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Theorem 2.9 (Leiderman-Tk., 2015).

Let a Hausdorff topological group H be a continuous homomorphic image of an almost connected pro-Lie group G. Then the group H is \mathbb{R} -factorizable, ω -cellular, and the Hewitt–Nachbin completion of H, say, υ H is again an \mathbb{R} -factorizable and ω -cellular topological group containing H as a (dense) topological subgroup.

Theorem 2.9 (Leiderman-Tk., 2015).

Let a Hausdorff topological group H be a continuous homomorphic image of an almost connected pro-Lie group G. Then the group H is \mathbb{R} -factorizable, ω -cellular, and the Hewitt–Nachbin completion of H, say, υ H is again an \mathbb{R} -factorizable and ω -cellular topological group containing H as a (dense) topological subgroup.

Proof. 1) By a Hofmann–Morris theorem (Theorem 2.5), *G* is homeomorphic to a product $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$, where *C* is a compact group and κ is a cardinal. So *H* is a continuous image of $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$.

Theorem 2.9 (Leiderman-Tk., 2015).

Let a Hausdorff topological group H be a continuous homomorphic image of an almost connected pro-Lie group G. Then the group H is \mathbb{R} -factorizable, ω -cellular, and the Hewitt–Nachbin completion of H, say, υ H is again an \mathbb{R} -factorizable and ω -cellular topological group containing H as a (dense) topological subgroup.

Proof. 1) By a Hofmann–Morris theorem (Theorem 2.5), *G* is homeomorphic to a product $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$, where *C* is a compact group and κ is a cardinal. So *H* is a continuous image of $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$. 2) Clearly *C* and \mathbb{R} are Lindelöf Σ -spaces, so *H* is a continuous image of a product of Lindelöf Σ -spaces. Evidently *H* is regular. By the Continuous Images theorem, the groups *G* and vG are \mathbb{R} -factorizable and ω -cellular.

Theorem 2.9 (Leiderman-Tk., 2015).

Let a Hausdorff topological group H be a continuous homomorphic image of an almost connected pro-Lie group G. Then the group H is \mathbb{R} -factorizable, ω -cellular, and the Hewitt–Nachbin completion of H, say, υ H is again an \mathbb{R} -factorizable and ω -cellular topological group containing H as a (dense) topological subgroup.

Proof. 1) By a Hofmann–Morris theorem (Theorem 2.5), *G* is homeomorphic to a product $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$, where *C* is a compact group and κ is a cardinal. So *H* is a continuous image of $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$.

2) Clearly *C* and \mathbb{R} are Lindelöf Σ -spaces, so *H* is a continuous image of a product of Lindelöf Σ -spaces. Evidently *H* is regular. By the Continuous Images theorem, the groups *G* and vG are \mathbb{R} -factorizable and ω -cellular.

3) Since the dense subgroup G of the paratopological group vG is a topological group, so is vG (a result due to Iván Sánchez).

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

How much of the Structure Theory of almost connected pro-Lie groups do we really need?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

How much of the Structure Theory of almost connected pro-Lie groups do we really need?

Theorem 2.11 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let G be a topological group and K a compact invariant subgroup of G such that the quotient group G/K is homeomorphic to the product $C \times \prod_{i \in I} H_i$, where C is a compact group and each H_i is a topological group with a countable network. Then:

How much of the Structure Theory of almost connected pro-Lie groups do we really need?

Theorem 2.11 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let G be a topological group and K a compact invariant subgroup of G such that the quotient group G/K is homeomorphic to the product $C \times \prod_{i \in I} H_i$, where C is a compact group and each H_i is a topological group with a countable network. Then:

(a) the group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable;

How much of the Structure Theory of almost connected pro-Lie groups do we really need?

Theorem 2.11 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let G be a topological group and K a compact invariant subgroup of G such that the quotient group G/K is homeomorphic to the product $C \times \prod_{i \in I} H_i$, where C is a compact group and each H_i is a topological group with a countable network. Then:

- (a) the group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable;
- (b) the space G is ω -cellular;

How much of the Structure Theory of almost connected pro-Lie groups do we really need?

Theorem 2.11 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let G be a topological group and K a compact invariant subgroup of G such that the quotient group G/K is homeomorphic to the product $C \times \prod_{i \in I} H_i$, where C is a compact group and each H_i is a topological group with a countable network. Then:

- (a) the group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable;
- (b) the space G is ω -cellular;
- (c) the closure of every $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set in G is a zero-set, i.e. G is an Efimov space.

How much of the Structure Theory of almost connected pro-Lie groups do we really need?

Theorem 2.11 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let G be a topological group and K a compact invariant subgroup of G such that the quotient group G/K is homeomorphic to the product $C \times \prod_{i \in I} H_i$, where C is a compact group and each H_i is a topological group with a countable network. Then:

- (a) the group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable;
- (b) the space G is ω -cellular;
- (c) the closure of every $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set in G is a zero-set, i.e. G is an Efimov space.

In other words, every extension of a topological group Hhomeomorphic with $C \times \prod_{i \in I} H_i$ by a compact group has the above properties (a)–(c).

How much of the Structure Theory of almost connected pro-Lie groups do we really need?

Theorem 2.11 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let G be a topological group and K a compact invariant subgroup of G such that the quotient group G/K is homeomorphic to the product $C \times \prod_{i \in I} H_i$, where C is a compact group and each H_i is a topological group with a countable network. Then:

- (a) the group G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable;
- (b) the space G is ω -cellular;
- (c) the closure of every $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set in G is a zero-set, i.e. G is an Efimov space.

In other words, every extension of a topological group Hhomeomorphic with $C \times \prod_{i \in I} H_i$ by a compact group has the above properties (a)–(c). Hence an extension of an almost connected pro-Lie group by a compact group has properties (a)–(c).

Problem 2.12.

Let G be a Hausdorff topological group and K a compact invariant subgroup of G such that G/K is an almost connected pro-Lie group. Is G a pro-Lie group?

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Problem 2.12.

Let G be a Hausdorff topological group and K a compact invariant subgroup of G such that G/K is an almost connected pro-Lie group. Is G a pro-Lie group?

Under an additional assumption, we give the affirmative answer to the problem.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Problem 2.12.

Let G be a Hausdorff topological group and K a compact invariant subgroup of G such that G/K is an almost connected pro-Lie group. Is G a pro-Lie group?

Under an additional assumption, we give the affirmative answer to the problem.

Theorem 2.13 (Leiderman-Tk., 2015).

Let G be a pro-Lie group and K a compact invariant subgroup of G such that the quotient group G/K is an almost connected pro-Lie group. Then G is almost connected.

Convergence properties of pro-Lie groups An arbitrary union of G_{δ} -sets is called a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set.

<□> <□> <□> <□> <=> <=> <=> <=> <<

An arbitrary union of G_{δ} -sets is called a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set. It is known that for a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -subset B of an arbitrary product Π of second countable spaces, the closure and sequential closure of B in Π coincide (Efimov, 1965, for the special case $\Pi = \{0, 1\}^{\kappa}$).

An arbitrary union of G_{δ} -sets is called a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set. It is known that for a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -subset B of an arbitrary product Π of second countable spaces, the closure and sequential closure of B in Π coincide (Efimov, 1965, for the special case $\Pi = \{0, 1\}^{\kappa}$).

Since every almost connected connected pro-Lie group H is homeomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$ (C is a compact group), the following result is quite natural:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

An arbitrary union of G_{δ} -sets is called a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set. It is known that for a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -subset B of an arbitrary product Π of second countable spaces, the closure and sequential closure of B in Π coincide (Efimov, 1965, for the special case $\Pi = \{0, 1\}^{\kappa}$).

Since every almost connected connected pro-Lie group H is homeomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$ (C is a compact group), the following result is quite natural:

Theorem 2.14 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let H be an almost connected pro-Lie group. Then, for every $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set B in H and every point $x \in \overline{B}$, the set B contains a sequence converging to x.

An arbitrary union of G_{δ} -sets is called a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set. It is known that for a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -subset B of an arbitrary product Π of second countable spaces, the closure and sequential closure of B in Π coincide (Efimov, 1965, for the special case $\Pi = \{0, 1\}^{\kappa}$).

Since every almost connected connected pro-Lie group H is homeomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$ (C is a compact group), the following result is quite natural:

Theorem 2.14 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let H be an almost connected pro-Lie group. Then, for every $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set B in H and every point $x \in \overline{B}$, the set B contains a sequence converging to x. In other words, the closure of B and the sequential closure of B in H coincide.

An arbitrary union of G_{δ} -sets is called a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set. It is known that for a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -subset B of an arbitrary product Π of second countable spaces, the closure and sequential closure of B in Π coincide (Efimov, 1965, for the special case $\Pi = \{0, 1\}^{\kappa}$).

Since every almost connected connected pro-Lie group H is homeomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$ (C is a compact group), the following result is quite natural:

Theorem 2.14 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let H be an almost connected pro-Lie group. Then, for every $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set B in H and every point $x \in \overline{B}$, the set B contains a sequence converging to x. In other words, the closure of B and the sequential closure of B in H coincide.

Two ingredients of the proof:

An arbitrary union of G_{δ} -sets is called a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set. It is known that for a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -subset B of an arbitrary product Π of second countable spaces, the closure and sequential closure of B in Π coincide (Efimov, 1965, for the special case $\Pi = \{0, 1\}^{\kappa}$).

Since every almost connected connected pro-Lie group H is homeomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$ (C is a compact group), the following result is quite natural:

Theorem 2.14 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let H be an almost connected pro-Lie group. Then, for every $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set B in H and every point $x \in \overline{B}$, the set B contains a sequence converging to x. In other words, the closure of B and the sequential closure of B in H coincide.

Two ingredients of the proof:

(1) A reduction to "countable weight" case, making use of Theorem 2.9 (almost connected pro-Lie groups are ω -cellular);

An arbitrary union of G_{δ} -sets is called a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set. It is known that for a $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -subset B of an arbitrary product Π of second countable spaces, the closure and sequential closure of B in Π coincide (Efimov, 1965, for the special case $\Pi = \{0, 1\}^{\kappa}$).

Since every almost connected connected pro-Lie group H is homeomorphic to $C \times \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$ (C is a compact group), the following result is quite natural:

Theorem 2.14 (Leiderman–Tk., 2015).

Let H be an almost connected pro-Lie group. Then, for every $G_{\delta,\Sigma}$ -set B in H and every point $x \in \overline{B}$, the set B contains a sequence converging to x. In other words, the closure of B and the sequential closure of B in H coincide.

Two ingredients of the proof:

- (1) A reduction to "countable weight" case, making use of Theorem 2.9 (almost connected pro-Lie groups are ω -cellular);
- (2) continuous cross-sections.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Continuous cross-sections:

Continuous cross-sections:

Theorem 2.15 (Bello-Chasco-Domínguez-Tk., 2015).

Let K be a compact invariant subgroup of a topological group X and $p: X \to X/K$ the quotient homomorphism.

Continuous cross-sections:

Theorem 2.15 (Bello-Chasco-Domínguez-Tk., 2015).

Let K be a compact invariant subgroup of a topological group X and $p: X \to X/K$ the quotient homomorphism. If Y is a zero-dimensional compact subspace of X/K, then there exists a continuous mapping $s: Y \to X$ satisfying $p \circ s = Id_Y$.

Continuous cross-sections:

Theorem 2.15 (Bello-Chasco-Domínguez-Tk., 2015).

Let K be a compact invariant subgroup of a topological group X and $p: X \to X/K$ the quotient homomorphism. If Y is a zero-dimensional compact subspace of X/K, then there exists a continuous mapping $s: Y \to X$ satisfying $p \circ s = Id_Y$.

The mapping s is a continuous <u>cross-section</u> for p on Y.

Continuous cross-sections:

Theorem 2.15 (Bello-Chasco-Domínguez-Tk., 2015).

Let K be a compact invariant subgroup of a topological group X and $p: X \to X/K$ the quotient homomorphism. If Y is a zero-dimensional compact subspace of X/K, then there exists a continuous mapping $s: Y \to X$ satisfying $p \circ s = Id_Y$.

The mapping s is a continuous <u>cross-section</u> for p on Y.

We apply the above theorem with Y being a convergent sequence (with its limit).

A topological group *G* homeomorphic to a connected pro-Lie group can fail to be a pro-Lie group — it suffices to take homeomorphic groups \mathbb{R}^{ω} and L_2 , the standard separable Hilbert space considered as a commutative topological group.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A topological group *G* homeomorphic to a connected pro-Lie group can fail to be a pro-Lie group—it suffices to take homeomorphic groups \mathbb{R}^{ω} and L_2 , the standard separable Hilbert space considered as a commutative topological group. Nevertheless we have the next curious fact:

A topological group *G* homeomorphic to a connected pro-Lie group can fail to be a pro-Lie group—it suffices to take homeomorphic groups \mathbb{R}^{ω} and L_2 , the standard separable Hilbert space considered as a commutative topological group. Nevertheless we have the next curious fact:

Theorem 2.16.

If a topological group G is homeomorphic to an almost connected pro-Lie group, then:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A topological group *G* homeomorphic to a connected pro-Lie group can fail to be a pro-Lie group — it suffices to take homeomorphic groups \mathbb{R}^{ω} and L_2 , the standard separable Hilbert space considered as a commutative topological group. Nevertheless we have the next curious fact:

Theorem 2.16.

If a topological group G is homeomorphic to an almost connected pro-Lie group, then:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(a) G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable;

A topological group *G* homeomorphic to a connected pro-Lie group can fail to be a pro-Lie group — it suffices to take homeomorphic groups \mathbb{R}^{ω} and L_2 , the standard separable Hilbert space considered as a commutative topological group. Nevertheless we have the next curious fact:

Theorem 2.16.

If a topological group G is homeomorphic to an almost connected pro-Lie group, then:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- (a) G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable;
- (b) G is complete.
More on pro-Lie groups

A topological group *G* homeomorphic to a connected pro-Lie group can fail to be a pro-Lie group — it suffices to take homeomorphic groups \mathbb{R}^{ω} and L_2 , the standard separable Hilbert space considered as a commutative topological group. Nevertheless we have the next curious fact:

Theorem 2.16.

If a topological group G is homeomorphic to an almost connected pro-Lie group, then:

- (a) G is \mathbb{R} -factorizable;
- (b) G is complete.

Item (a) follows from Theorem 2.9, while the proof of (b) is non-trivial and requires some techniques presented in our joint work with A. Leiderman:

Lattices of homomorphisms and pro-Lie groups, arXiv:1605.05279.

The previous theorem gives rise to many problems some of which are listed here:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

The previous theorem gives rise to many problems some of which are listed here:

Problem 3.1.

Let G and H be homeomorphic topological groups and assume that G has one of the following properties:

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

The previous theorem gives rise to many problems some of which are listed here:

Problem 3.1.

Let G and H be homeomorphic topological groups and assume that G has one of the following properties:

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

(a) ω -narrowness;

The previous theorem gives rise to many problems some of which are listed here:

Problem 3.1.

Let G and H be homeomorphic topological groups and assume that G has one of the following properties:

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- (a) ω -narrowness;
- (b) completeness;

The previous theorem gives rise to many problems some of which are listed here:

Problem 3.1.

Let G and H be homeomorphic topological groups and assume that G has one of the following properties:

- (a) ω -narrowness;
- (b) completeness;
- (c) \mathbb{R} -factorizability.

The previous theorem gives rise to many problems some of which are listed here:

Problem 3.1.

Let G and H be homeomorphic topological groups and assume that G has one of the following properties:

- (a) ω -narrowness;
- (b) completeness;
- (c) \mathbb{R} -factorizability.

Does H have the same property?

The previous theorem gives rise to many problems some of which are listed here:

Problem 3.1.

Let G and H be homeomorphic topological groups and assume that G has one of the following properties:

- (a) ω -narrowness;
- (b) completeness;
- (c) \mathbb{R} -factorizability.

Does H have the same property? What if G has (a) and (b)?

The previous theorem gives rise to many problems some of which are listed here:

Problem 3.1.

Let G and H be homeomorphic topological groups and assume that G has one of the following properties:

- (a) ω -narrowness;
- (b) completeness;
- (c) \mathbb{R} -factorizability.

Does H have the same property? What if G has (a) and (b)? Does (c) for G imply (a) for H?

The previous theorem gives rise to many problems some of which are listed here:

Problem 3.1.

Let G and H be homeomorphic topological groups and assume that G has one of the following properties:

- (a) ω -narrowness;
- (b) completeness;
- (c) \mathbb{R} -factorizability.

Does H have the same property? What if G has (a) and (b)? Does (c) for G imply (a) for H?

In fact, Problem 3.1 has been inspired by (or should be attributed to) Alexander V. Arhangel'skii.

The previous theorem gives rise to many problems some of which are listed here:

Problem 3.1.

Let G and H be homeomorphic topological groups and assume that G has one of the following properties:

- (a) ω -narrowness;
- (b) completeness;
- (c) \mathbb{R} -factorizability.

Does H have the same property? What if G has (a) and (b)? Does (c) for G imply (a) for H?

In fact, Problem 3.1 has been inspired by (or should be attributed to) Alexander V. Arhangel'skii.

LAST MINUTE NOTE: The answer to (a) and (b) of Problem 3.1 is 'NO' [Taras Banakh].