

On a problem of Ellis and Pestov's Conjecture

Dana Bartošová ¹ Andy Zucker ²

¹Universidade de São Paulo

²Carnegie Mellon University

Toposym 2016

Praha

July 27, 2016

The first author is supported by the grant FAPESP 2013/14458-9.

$G \times X \longrightarrow X$ – a continuous action

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times X & \longrightarrow & X \text{ -- a continuous action} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{topological} & & \text{compact} \\ \text{group} & & \text{Hausdorff space} \end{array}$$

$$G \times X \longrightarrow X \text{ - a continuous action}$$
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{topological} & & \text{compact} \\ \text{group} & & \text{Hausdorff space} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g(hx) &= (gh)x \\ ex &= x \end{aligned}$$

$G \times X$	\longrightarrow	X	– a continuous action
\uparrow		\uparrow	
topological		compact	
group		Hausdorff space	

$$\begin{aligned}g(hx) &= (gh)x \\ex &= x\end{aligned}$$

$(g, \cdot) : X \longrightarrow X$ – a homeomorphism

$G \times X$	\longrightarrow	X	– a continuous action
\uparrow		\uparrow	
topological		compact	
group		Hausdorff space	

$$\begin{aligned}g(hx) &= (gh)x \\ex &= x\end{aligned}$$

$(g, \cdot) : X \longrightarrow X$ – a homeomorphism

$G \longrightarrow \text{Homeo}(X)$ – a continuous homomorphism

$G \times X \longrightarrow X$	– a continuous action
\uparrow	\uparrow
topological group	compact Hausdorff space

$$\begin{aligned}g(hx) &= (gh)x \\ex &= x\end{aligned}$$

$(g, \cdot) : X \longrightarrow X$ – a homeomorphism

$G \longrightarrow \text{Homeo}(X)$ – a continuous homomorphism

We call X a G -flow.

$X, Y - G$ -flows

Homomorphism

X, Y – G -flows

A continuous map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -homomorphism if $\phi(gx) = g\phi(x)$.

Homomorphism

X, Y – G -flows

A continuous map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -homomorphism if $\phi(gx) = g\phi(x)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times X & \longrightarrow & X \\ \text{id} \times \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \times \phi \\ G \times Y & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

Homomorphism

X, Y – G -flows

A continuous map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -homomorphism if $\phi(gx) = g\phi(x)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times X & \longrightarrow & X \\ \text{id} \times \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \times \phi \\ G \times Y & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

Y is a **factor** of X if there is a surjective homomorphism $X \rightarrow Y$.

Ellis semigroup

$\pi : G \times X \longrightarrow X$ – G-flow

Ellis semigroup

$\pi : G \times X \longrightarrow X$ – G-flow

$$\pi : G \longrightarrow X^X,$$

where X^X is considered with the product topology.

Ellis semigroup

$\pi : G \times X \longrightarrow X$ – G-flow

$$\pi : G \longrightarrow X^X,$$

where X^X is considered with the product topology.

$$E(X) = \overline{\pi(G)}$$

is the **Ellis semigroup** of X .

Ellis semigroup

$\pi : G \times X \longrightarrow X$ – G-flow

$$\pi : G \longrightarrow X^X,$$

where X^X is considered with the product topology.

$$E(X) = \overline{\pi(G)}$$

is the **Ellis semigroup** of X .

- $E(X)$ is a sort of “semigroup compactification” of G

Ellis semigroup

$\pi : G \times X \longrightarrow X$ – G-flow

$$\pi : G \longrightarrow X^X,$$

where X^X is considered with the product topology.

$$E(X) = \overline{\pi(G)}$$

is the **Ellis semigroup** of X .

- $E(X)$ is a sort of “semigroup compactification” of G
- π extends to a continuous semigroup action

$$E(X) \times X \longrightarrow X$$

$\pi : G \times X \longrightarrow X$ – G-flow

$$\pi : G \longrightarrow X^X,$$

where X^X is considered with the product topology.

$$E(X) = \overline{\pi(G)}$$

is the **Ellis semigroup** of X .

- $E(X)$ is a sort of “semigroup compactification” of G
- π extends to a continuous semigroup action
 $E(X) \times X \longrightarrow X$
- $\overline{Gx} = E(X)x$ for every $x \in X$.

Ellis semigroup

$\pi : G \times X \longrightarrow X$ – G -flow

$$\pi : G \longrightarrow X^X,$$

where X^X is considered with the product topology.

$$E(X) = \overline{\pi(G)}$$

is the **Ellis semigroup** of X .

- $E(X)$ is a sort of “semigroup compactification” of G
- π extends to a continuous semigroup action
 $E(X) \times X \longrightarrow X$
- $\overline{Gx} = E(X)x$ for every $x \in X$.
- $(x, y) \in X^2$ is proximal iff there is $p \in E(X)$ such that
 $px = py$.

(Universal) minimal flow

(Universal) minimal flow

X is **minimal** if it has no proper closed G -invariant subset.

(Universal) minimal flow

X is **minimal** if it has no proper closed G -invariant subset.

$M(G)$ is the **universal minimal G -flow** if every minimal G -flow is its quotient.

(Universal) minimal flow

X is **minimal** if it has no proper closed G -invariant subset.

$M(G)$ is the **universal minimal G -flow** if every minimal G -flow is its quotient.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times M(G) & \twoheadrightarrow & M(G) \\ \text{id} \times q \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \times q \\ G \times M & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

(Universal) minimal flow

X is **minimal** if it has no proper closed G -invariant subset.

$M(G)$ is the **universal minimal G -flow** if every minimal G -flow is its quotient.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times M(G) & \twoheadrightarrow & M(G) \\ \text{id} \times q \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \times q \\ G \times M & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

Theorem (Ellis)

The universal minimal flow exists and it is unique up to isomorphism.

Ambit is a pointed G -flow (X, x_0) such that Gx_0 is dense in X .

Ambit is a pointed G -flow (X, x_0) such that Gx_0 is dense in X .

A **homomorphism** of G -ambits (X, x_0) and (Y, y_0) is a homomorphism $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\phi(x_0) = y_0$.

Greatest ambit

Ambit is a pointed G -flow (X, x_0) such that Gx_0 is dense in X .

A **homomorphism** of G -ambits (X, x_0) and (Y, y_0) is a homomorphism $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\phi(x_0) = y_0$.

The **greatest ambit** $(S(G), e)$ is an ambit that has every ambit as its quotient.

Greatest ambit

Ambit is a pointed G -flow (X, x_0) such that Gx_0 is dense in X .

A **homomorphism** of G -ambits (X, x_0) and (Y, y_0) is a homomorphism $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\phi(x_0) = y_0$.

The **greatest ambit** $(S(G), e)$ is an ambit that has every ambit as its quotient.

G - discrete $\rightarrow (\beta G, e)$ is the greatest ambit of G .

Greatest ambit

Ambit is a pointed G -flow (X, x_0) such that Gx_0 is dense in X .

A **homomorphism** of G -ambits (X, x_0) and (Y, y_0) is a homomorphism $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\phi(x_0) = y_0$.

The **greatest ambit** $(S(G), e)$ is an ambit that has every ambit as its quotient.

G - discrete $\rightarrow (\beta G, e)$ is the greatest ambit of G .

$S(G)$ is the minimal compactification of G to which all uniformly continuous maps into $[0, 1]$ extend.

Greatest ambit

Ambit is a pointed G -flow (X, x_0) such that Gx_0 is dense in X .

A **homomorphism** of G -ambits (X, x_0) and (Y, y_0) is a homomorphism $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\phi(x_0) = y_0$.

The **greatest ambit** $(S(G), e)$ is an ambit that has every ambit as its quotient.

G - discrete $\rightarrow (\beta G, e)$ is the greatest ambit of G .

$S(G)$ is the minimal compactification of G to which all uniformly continuous maps into $[0, 1]$ extend.

$S(G)$ - compact right-topological semigroup.

Is the ambit homomorphism $(S(G), e) \longrightarrow E(M(G), e)$ an isomorphism?

Is the ambit homomorphism $(S(G), e) \longrightarrow E(M(G), e)$ an isomorphism?

GLASNER

NO for $G = \mathbb{Z}$.

Is the ambit homomorphism $(S(G), e) \longrightarrow E(M(G), e)$ an isomorphism?

GLASNER

NO for $G = \mathbb{Z}$.

PESTOV

NO for $\text{Homeo}_+(S^1)$.

Is the ambit homomorphism $(S(G), e) \longrightarrow E(M(G), e)$ an isomorphism?

GLASNER

NO for $G = \mathbb{Z}$.

PESTOV

NO for $\text{Homeo}_+(S^1)$.

NO for **extremely amenable groups** \equiv groups with trivial universal minimal flows (e.g., $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}, <)$, $U(l_2)$, $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}, d)$).

Is the ambit homomorphism $(S(G), e) \longrightarrow E(M(G), e)$ an isomorphism?

GLASNER

NO for $G = \mathbb{Z}$.

PESTOV

NO for $\text{Homeo}_+(S^1)$.

NO for **extremely amenable groups** \equiv groups with trivial universal minimal flows (e.g., $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}, <)$, $U(l_2)$, $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{U}, d)$).

NO for groups with proximal universal minimal flows.

Conjecture of Pestov – wild or not so wild?

Ellis' question has affirmative answer only in the trivial case of precompact groups.

Conjecture of Pestov – wild or not so wild?

Ellis' question has affirmative answer only in the trivial case of precompact groups.

precompact \equiv subgroup of a compact group

Conjecture of Pestov – wild or not so wild?

Ellis' question has affirmative answer only in the trivial case of precompact groups.

precompact \equiv subgroup of a compact group

If G is precompact then $S(G) = M(G) = E(M(G))$.

A semigroup (S, \cdot) is **right topological** if the right translations are continuous, that is,

A semigroup (S, \cdot) is **right topological** if the right translations are continuous, that is,

$$\forall s \in S \ (\cdot, s) : S \longrightarrow S, \ r \mapsto rs$$

is continuous.

A semigroup (S, \cdot) is **right topological** if the right translations are continuous, that is,

$$\forall s \in S \ (\cdot, s) : S \longrightarrow S, \ r \mapsto rs$$

is continuous.

Theorem (Ellis - Numakura)

Every compact right-topological semigroup S contains an idempotent, that is, $s \in S$ such that $ss = s$.

Idempotents and ideals in semigroups

$L \subset S$ is a **left ideal** if $SL = L$.

Idempotents and ideals in semigroups

$L \subset S$ is a **left ideal** if $SL = L$.

If S has a minimal left ideal L with an idempotent i then

Idempotents and ideals in semigroups

$L \subset S$ is a **left ideal** if $SL = L$.

If S has a minimal left ideal L with an idempotent i then

① $Si = L$.

Idempotents and ideals in semigroups

$L \subset S$ is a **left ideal** if $SL = L$.

If S has a minimal left ideal L with an idempotent i then

- 1 $Si = L$.
- 2 $R = iS$ is a minimal right ideal of S .

Idempotents and ideals in semigroups

$L \subset S$ is a **left ideal** if $SL = L$.

If S has a minimal left ideal L with an idempotent i then

- 1 $Si = L$.
- 2 $R = iS$ is a minimal right ideal of S .
- 3 $iSi = R \cap L = RL$ is a maximal group with i the identity.

Idempotents and ideals in semigroups

$L \subset S$ is a **left ideal** if $SL = L$.

If S has a minimal left ideal L with an idempotent i then

- 1 $Si = L$.
- 2 $R = iS$ is a minimal right ideal of S .
- 3 $iSi = R \cap L = RL$ is a maximal group with i the identity.
- 4 $SiS = K(S)$ is the minimal both-sided ideal of S .

Idempotents and ideals in semigroups

$L \subset S$ is a **left ideal** if $SL = L$.

If S has a minimal left ideal L with an idempotent i then

- 1 $Si = L$.
- 2 $R = iS$ is a minimal right ideal of S .
- 3 $iSi = R \cap L = RL$ is a maximal group with i the identity.
- 4 $SiS = K(S)$ is the minimal both-sided ideal of S .
- 5 All minimal left ideals are isomorphic.

Reformulation of Ellis' problem

Do homomorphisms from $S(G)$ to $M(G)$ separate points? That is, given $x \neq y \in S(G)$, is there a homomorphism $\phi : S(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ such that $\phi(x) \neq \phi(y)$?

Reformulation of Ellis' problem

Do homomorphisms from $S(G)$ to $M(G)$ separate points? That is, given $x \neq y \in S(G)$, is there a homomorphism $\phi : S(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ such that $\phi(x) \neq \phi(y)$?

Fact

Every homomorphism $\phi : S(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ is of the form $x \mapsto xm$ for some $m \in M(G)$.

Reformulation of Ellis' problem

Do homomorphisms from $S(G)$ to $M(G)$ separate points? That is, given $x \neq y \in S(G)$, is there a homomorphism $\phi : S(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ such that $\phi(x) \neq \phi(y)$?

Fact

Every homomorphism $\phi : S(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ is of the form $x \mapsto xm$ for some $m \in M(G)$.

If $M(G)$ is proximal, then it consists of idempotents and consequently NO for G to Ellis question.

Reformulation of Ellis' problem

Do homomorphisms from $S(G)$ to $M(G)$ separate points? That is, given $x \neq y \in S(G)$, is there a homomorphism $\phi : S(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ such that $\phi(x) \neq \phi(y)$?

Fact

Every homomorphism $\phi : S(G) \rightarrow M(G)$ is of the form $x \mapsto xm$ for some $m \in M(G)$.

If $M(G)$ is proximal, then it consists of idempotents and consequently NO for G to Ellis question.

Example (Glasner and Weiss, 2003)

$M(\text{Homeo}(2^\omega))$ is proximal.

$S(S_\infty)$

$$G = S_\infty(\mathbb{N})$$

$$G = S_\infty(\mathbb{N})$$

$$G_n = \{g \in G : gk = k, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$$

forms an open base of the identity.

$$G = S_\infty(\mathbb{N})$$

$$G_n = \{g \in G : gk = k, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$$

forms an open base of the identity.

$$B_n = \{G_n K : K \subset G\} \cong \mathcal{P}(G/G_n)$$

$$G = S_\infty(\mathbb{N})$$

$$G_n = \{g \in G : gk = k, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$$

forms an open base of the identity.

$$B_n = \{G_n K : K \subset G\} \cong \mathcal{P}(G/G_n)$$

$$B = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$$

is a Boolean algebra.

$$G = S_\infty(\mathbb{N})$$

$$G_n = \{g \in G : gk = k, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$$

forms an open base of the identity.

$$B_n = \{G_n K : K \subset G\} \cong \mathcal{P}(G/G_n)$$

$$B = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$$

is a Boolean algebra.

Theorem (Pestov)

$S(G) =$ Stone space of B , that is, space of all ultrafilters on B .

$$G = S_\infty$$

$$G = S_\infty$$

If M is a minimal G -flow, then for every $\emptyset \neq O \subset M$ open and $m \in M$ the set

$$\text{Ret}(m, O) = \{g \in G : gm \in O\}$$

is syndetic.

$$G = S_\infty$$

If M is a minimal G -flow, then for every $\emptyset \neq O \subset M$ open and $m \in M$ the set

$$\text{Ret}(m, O) = \{g \in G : gm \in O\}$$

is syndetic.

$S \subset G$ is **syndetic**, if there are g_1, \dots, g_n such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n g_i S = G$.

$$G = S_\infty$$

If M is a minimal G -flow, then for every $\emptyset \neq O \subset M$ open and $m \in M$ the set

$$\text{Ret}(m, O) = \{g \in G : gm \in O\}$$

is syndetic.

$S \subset G$ is **syndetic**, if there are g_1, \dots, g_n such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n g_i S = G$.

Theorem (B.)

$M(G)$ is the Stone space of a maximal syndetic subalgebra of B , that is, a subalgebra of B consisting of syndetic sets and invariant under G .

\equiv do maximal syndetic subalgebras of B generate all of B ?

\equiv do maximal syndetic subalgebras of B generate all of B ?

B. + Zucker

NO

\equiv do maximal syndetic subalgebras of B generate all of B ?

B. + Zucker

NO

$M(G)$ is “close” to being proximal, but

Theorem (B. + Zucker)

Idempotents in $K(S(G))$ do not form a semigroup.

\equiv do maximal syndetic subalgebras of B generate all of B ?

B. + Zucker

NO

$M(G)$ is “close” to being proximal, but

Theorem (B. + Zucker)

Idempotents in $K(S(G))$ do not form a semigroup.

Theorem (Zucker)

There are minimal left ideals M, N in $K(S(G))$ such that idempotents in $M \cup N$ form a semigroup.

$K(S(G))$ for G – automorphism group of a countable structure

Theorem (B. + Zucker, 2016)

If $M(G)$ is metrizable then $K(S(G))$ is closed.

$K(S(G))$ for G – automorphism group of a countable structure

Theorem (B. + Zucker, 2016)

If $M(G)$ is metrizable then $K(S(G))$ is closed.

...and we conjecture that this is if and only if statement.

$K(S(G))$ for G – automorphism group of a countable structure

Theorem (B. + Zucker, 2016)

If $M(G)$ is metrizable then $K(S(G))$ is closed.

...and we conjecture that this is if and only if statement.

In contrast, if G is discrete, then $K(\beta G)$ is never closed.

OBRIGADA!