

## Turning ternary relations into antisymmetric betweenness relations

*Jorge Bruno, Aisling McCluskey\*, Paul Szeptycki*

brujo.email@gmail.com,  
aisling.mccluskey@nuigalway.ie,  
szeptyck@yorku.ca

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a family of nonempty subsets of a set  $X$  such that

1. all singleton subsets of  $X$  are in  $\mathcal{R}$ , and
2. for any  $a, b$  in  $X$ , there is  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $a, b \in R$ .

A ternary relation then arises naturally on  $X$  from such a family by writing  $[a, b, c]$  (and saying  $b$  is between  $a$  and  $c$ ) if and only if  $b \in R$  for each  $R \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $a, c \in R$ . This primitive notion of betweenness was introduced by Bankston in 2013. He showed in particular that such relations, called  $R$ -relations, are first-order axiomatizable.

An  $R$ -relation is said to be antisymmetric if  $[a, b, c]$  and  $[a, c, b]$  together imply  $b = c$ . We construct the antisymmetric closure of an  $R$ -relation and expose it as a reflector between complete lattices and their distributive counterparts.

